- - -

2004 ELECTION FORUM

Monday, December 13, 2004

10:30 a.m.

Columbus City Hall

Columbus City Council Chambers

90 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio

- - -

1 2 - - -3 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S \_ \_ \_ 4 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: The hearing 5 6 will come to order and we will begin with an opening 7 prayer by State Senator Ray Miller. 8 SENATOR MILLER: Let's assume the posture of 9 prayer. 10 God of our weary years, God of our silent 11 tears, thou who hast brought us thus far along the 12 way, thou who has by thy might led us into the light, 13 keep us forever in thy path we pray. 14 Father God, we thank you for bringing us all 15 here on this day. 16 God, we ask that you give everyone a clear 17 mind, that we might behave in a manner that is 18 pleasing in your sight. God, this is one of the most important 19 opportunities and privileges that we have as 20 21 Americans. You have given each one of us individually 22 the opportunity to live our lives in a just and honest 23 manner. We pray, Father God, that everyone will 24 participate in this hearing with that spirit and with 25 that purpose.

1 We thank you, God, for the Congress persons 2 who have come to be with us today, Congresswoman Maxine Waters and Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones 3 4 and Congressman John Conyers for chairing these 5 hearings. We thank you for the leadership of the 6 Reverend Jesse Jackson Jr., who has worked all over 7 this country to ensure that the voter suppression and 8 irregularities are not tolerated in America. 9 So, God, once again, we ask that you bless 10 everything that is done here on this day. These 11 prayers, these blessings, these urges we ask in thy 12 holy name. 13 Let us all say, amen. 14 (Amen.) 15 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, Senator. We'll look forward to your additional 16 comments as a member of this panel very shortly. 17 18 Ladies and gentlemen, I am so proud to 19 introduce our Congressional Black Caucus colleague from Ohio, from Cleveland. She's been a lawyer, 20 21 professor, prosecutor, judge, member of the Ways and 22 Means Committee, the honorable, one and only Stephanie 23 Tubbs-Jones. Let's welcome her to these proceedings. 24 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Good 25 morning.

1 I'd like to thank my colleague and good 2 friend, the Honorable John Conyers, from the great state of Michigan for hosting these hearings here in 3 4 Ohio, and I'd like to welcome my colleague, Maxine 5 Waters, all the way from the great state of 6 California. Both of them are always on point on issues that are important to our community. 7 And I'd like to thank the members of the 8 9 Columbus City Council for hosting us here in this great facility. It is only through your support -- or 10 11 actually hosting this hearing. We started off 12 actually in the statehouse, and for one reason or 13 another, which I won't get into at the moment, we find 14 ourselves here at the Columbus City council chambers. 15 But God is good; he always gives us a location to be able to bring issues to the table. 16 17 These hearings are the first in what I hope 18 will lead to a series to examine voting irregularities 19 arising from the 2004 elections. The purpose of the 20 hearing is to fulfill the obligation owed to the 21 American people who come out in record numbers to vote 22 but experienced long lines, malfunctioning equipment 23 and overt attempts at voter intimidation. 24 The responsibility for conducting an open and 25 fair election process here in Ohio operates under the

1 authority of the Secretary of State. I'm, however, 2 particularly concerned about both the real and perceived conflicts of individuals holding top policy 3 4 and political positions simultaneously. 5 The Secretary of State and Chief Elections 6 Officer, Kenneth Blackwell, also served as Ohio's cochair for the re-election of the Bush/Cheney ticket. 7 Cuyahoga County Board of Elections chair, Robert 8 9 Bennett, is also the chair of the Ohio Republican party. In fact, the day before the election, our 10 11 Cuyahoga County prosecutor issued a ruling that 12 forbade Mr. Bennett from holding both positions in 13 terms of making a decision about this particular 14 election. This discussion needs to be the subject of 15 serious review here in Ohio and across the country if, in fact, that is the situation in other states. 16 17 After the 2000 elections, hearings were held 18 which resulted in the Help America Vote Act. Funds 19 were appropriated to improve the process, which is our 20 most sacred right: The right to vote. 21 But four years later, it is clear that the system remains broken. Disproportionately, people of 22 23 color and poor people were disenfranchised. 24 We must examine the use of funds appropriated 25 to help America vote. We must improve the system that

1 are supposed to facilitate voting, and we must also 2 examine the use of, quote, dirty tricks, unquote, and any possible malfeasance by election officials 3 4 designed to disenfranchise people of color and the 5 poor folk. 6 In the 11th Congressional District, 73 7 percent of my constituents are registered to vote, and 8 I'm proud to say that 64 percent of that 73 percent 9 turned out to vote. We are acquiring a growing body of evidence that shows that hundreds, and more likely 10 11 thousands, of individuals were removed from the voting 12 rolls without a cause. 13 I am pleased to be joined today by two 14 citizen volunteers from Cleveland that have been 15 actively involved in all aspects of voter empowerment. Their focus today will be a study documenting a 16 17 systematic disenfranchisement. 18 As we talk about voting across America and 19 freedom in the world, it is most important that we, here in the land of the free, the home of the brave, 20 21 assure that every person that gets out to vote, that 22 his vote is counted. And I'm so pleased to be joined 23 by the executive director of the NAACP voter fund and others here today, and I want to thank all of you for 24 25 focusing in on Ohio.

1 We must do a focus on Ohio before the 2 electoral college meets. Otherwise, after the electoral college, people will not be paying attention 3 4 to what's going on. So I thank you very, very much. 5 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: We're in 6 council chambers and the chair recognizes Councilwoman Charleta Tavares. 7 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you. Thank you 8 9 to our congress persons for coming and hosting this hearing, this most important hearing in the Columbus 10 11 City Council chambers. I am pleased to be here on 12 behalf of myself and my colleagues who have joined me, 13 councilwoman Patsy Thomas and Councilman Boyce, Kevin 14 Boyce, who are with us this evening. And we're here 15 because we believe this is our role as local government, that we need to work with our partners at 16 17 the state and local level, as well as the federal 18 level to get to the bottom of this election. 19 What we want, Congressman Conyers, as you and 20 your colleagues, Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones, 21 Congresswoman Maxine Waters, our State Senator Ray 22 Miller and my council colleagues and I, what we all 23 want, is a fair, free, accurate and consistent process 24 for conducting elections in the United States of 25 America.

1 What we are doing today is ensuring that we 2 receive information while it's fresh on the minds and hearts of those who participated in this last 3 4 election. We shouldn't be afraid of the truth. We 5 shouldn't be afraid of conducting these kinds of hearings in order to understand what went wrong, why 6 we didn't have the county done in a consistent, fair 7 8 and accurate way. 9 So I am pleased, on behalf of my colleagues who have joined me here today, to be a part of this 10 11 public hearing, to get to the bottom of the 2004 12 election, to put in place procedures and a processing 13 so that we can ensure we have that fair, free, 14 accurate and consistent election in 2005. Thank you. 15 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, 16 17 Councilwoman. 18 We've sent a letter, a number of members of 19 Congress, my letter, asking the governor of this 20 state, Governor Taft, to delay or treat as provisional 21 the vote of Ohio's presidential electors. 22 Thank you. Secretary of State Blackwell's actions have 23 24 had the result of unduly delaying the initial 25 certification of the vote so that any recount or

possible litigation could not be completed by December
 13.

Under these circumstances of law and equity, 3 4 not to mention the credibility of our voting system, 5 we demand a delay. The closer we get -- the closer we 6 get to Columbus and the Ohio presidential election, the more questions arise, the worse it looks. And 7 each and every day, it becomes increasingly clear that 8 9 one political party power structure in this state is acting as if they have something to hide. Why else 10 11 would the Secretary of State advocate the Ohio law and 12 lockdown public election records in Greene County over 13 the weekend after our hearings in Washington? Why 14 else would he, as cochair also of the Bush campaign, take the -- take position after position to prevent 15 citizens from registering easily, to prevent 16 17 registered voters from getting ballots, and to prevent 18 lawful ballots from being counted. 19 Now, we have hundreds of pages of testimony 20 about Ohio already and we're here to get more today. 21 Why else would he refuse to answer a single 22 one of thirty six questions presented to him in good 23 faith by members of the United States House of 24 Representatives? Why else would he unnecessarily 25 delay the certification of the Ohio vote, making a

1 recount impossible? Why else would exit polls of 2 which there will be testimony, show a significant lead for Kerry throughout the day so -- and to be totally 3 4 inconsistent with the final returns? Why else would 5 there not be a single investigation by the state is a 6 huge Republican effort already revealed to 7 disenfranchise minority and poor voters. No investigation into the unprecedented 8 9 lockdown on Warren County. No investigation into the mysteriousness of fairness of 4,000 votes in Franklin 10 11 County. 12 There is no more right precious under a 13 constitutional democracy than the right to vote. And 14 that is why we pledge that this investigation will not 15 end until we get the answers to these questions that are being raised here today. 16 17 Now, in the course of my career, one person 18 has always been with us on human rights, civil rights, 19 global issues, and it is very -- and this was before she came to Congress. And she's been on the CASE. 20 21 She's a distinguished member of the House Judiciary Committee. She has come all the way from Los Angeles, 22 23 California, and we're so proud to have joining us at 24 this hearing the honorable Maxine Waters. 25 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Thank you.

1 Thank you very much, Congressman Conyers, for 2 scheduling this important meeting and for your long-standing commitment to election reform. You're a 3 4 champion in the struggle to secure the civil rights of 5 all Americans and I solute you for your determined 6 efforts to ensure fair elections where every voter has an equal right to vote and to have his or her vote 7 8 counted. 9 I also thank our witnesses for their attendance and participation here today. 10 11 But I am particularly thankful to the members 12 of the city council who are here today and for joining 13 with us and allowing us basically to use this venue 14 for this most important meeting. 15 I'm very, very pleased to be here with my colleague, Stephanie Tubbs-Jones, from Ohio. I know 16 of her very strong commitment and I'm delighted that 17 18 she's providing leadership on this issue. 19 As elected officials from urban areas with 20 large concentrations of minority voters and low 21 recount residents, each of us know from all too many 22 unhappy experiences that whenever America fails to 23 ensure that all legally qualified voters have the same 24 opportunity to vote, it is our constituents who 25 suffers the consequences.

1 The long lines and the shortages of voting 2 machines were concentrated in many minority communities. Many of the minority and low income 3 4 voters who have been injured by the hostility of 5 Republican partisans in the states and the 6 indifference and neglect of the Republican majority in the Congress are our constituents. 7 I know that you agree with me that our goal 8 9 should be to maximize the number of legally qualified votes that are cast and counted. 10 11 Ladies and gentlemen, I have a very full 12 schedule back in my district in Los Angeles. I 13 canceled that so that I could be here today. While I 14 cancel my district schedule with great reluctance, I simply had to be here today as Ohio was ground zero 15 for the problems that afflicted the 2004 presidential 16 17 election. 18 I have made an extra effort to be here today 19 to deliver one simple message to the people of Ohio 20 and the people of the United States: While we have 21 made modest progress in some areas from the election 22 debacle that occurred in Florida and elsewhere in the 2000 presidential election, the 2004 presidential 23 24 election in Ohio, in Florida and many other states 25 confirms that our election system remains

1 fundamentally flawed.

2 Unfortunately, I believe that our elections will remain flawed until we end the practice of 3 4 allowing partisans like Ohio Secretary of State 5 Kenneth Blackwell and former Florida Secretary of State, now Congresswoman, Katherine Harris, to 6 administer elections and until we have got uniform 7 standards for federal elections. 8 9 I want to take the time to detail and remind you of the partisan outrageous manner in which 10 11 Katherine Harris supervised the Florida recount. I 12 had thought Ms. Harris had permanently secured the 13 grand prize for partisanship by a state-elected 14 supervisor in a presidential election. But in the 15 most recent elections, your Secretary of State, Mr. Blackwell, has proven to be a serious competitor 16 17 for this prize. 18 Despite -- despite the invitations to 19 Secretary of State Blackwell to testify before us in 20 Washington last Wednesday and here today, we have yet 21 to here from him, nor have we received a response from 22 him to the December 2nd, 2004 election, from House 23 Judiciary Democrats raising 34 separate questions 24 about the conduct of the Ohio presidential election. 25 While I still hope that Mr. Blackwell will

1 reconsider and answer our questions, his silence to 2 date is telling. 3 While there are many issues that I would like 4 to address, time is limited, so let me discuss one 5 obvious example of vote suppression. In five 6 states -- florida, Ohio, Michigan, Missouri and Colorado -- election officials ruled that voters may 7 8 cast provisional ballots only in the precincts where 9 they are registered to vote. When voters show up at a polling place that has no record of their 10 11 registration, they are given provisional ballots, 12 which are counted only if their registration is later 13 verified. A high percentage of these, not 14 surprisingly, are cast as well. There is no justification disqualifying for a vote for president, 15 for senator or any other statewide office on the 16 17 ground that a legally gualified voter shows up to cast 18 his vote in the wrong precinct. Yet, amazingly, in 19 Ohio, because of Mr. Blackwell's shenanigans, votes of 20 voters who cast a provisional ballot were not counted 21 in any election, including the presidential election, 22 the Senate election and other statewide races if the 23 voter cast that vote in the wrong precinct. Why would 24 we not count that vote as valid? The voter's intent 25 is clear. There is no question as to the voter's

right to vote for the office of president or senator.
 The ability exists to ensure that the voters not cast
 a provisional ballot in more than one location and
 there are penalties that can be imposed against those
 who seek to do so.

6 There is only one possible explanation for not counting this vote: A desire to suppress the 7 votes of those who were confused, were not informed, 8 9 or who were misinformed about where they should vote. We need a federal law that, at a minimum, 10 11 requires every state to count every provisional ballot 12 cast by every legally qualified voter for the office 13 of president or senator, regardless of the whether the 14 voter cast a provisional ballot was in the correct precinct. A voter's right to vote for the president 15 or for senator should not turn upon whether he resides 16 17 in a state that prohibits the counting of provisional 18 ballots that voter cast in the wrong precinct. 19 Ladies and gentlemen, the issues that we are 20 addressing here today are not simply about winning and 21 losing elections. They are about something far more 22 fundamental. Namely, whether every legally qualified 23 voter is entitled to equal treatment, to equal 24 respect, to an equal opportunity to count and have his

25 or her vote counted.

1 If we are supposed -- if we are supposed to 2 limit our interests and attention to election issues that are outcome determinative, then why do we even 3 4 bother to count write-in votes or count the votes of 5 most minor parties? Clearly, there are very few 6 instances in which they are outcome determinative. 7 Yet hopefully, all of us recognize that all legally 8 qualified voters should have the same opportunity to 9 have a voice, to make their views known, whether they 10 are part of a huge majority or minority of one. 11 That's what equal treatment is about. That's what 12 this country should be about. 13 We have millions of men and women who have 14 sacrificed and served in the military, in the civil 15 rights movement, to make the promise of equal rights a 16 reality for all Americans. We must honor their sacrifice by doing all that is required to make equal 17 18 treatment a reality. Ohio revealed that our electoral system is 19 still broken. And, Ohio, let the word go forward 20 21 today, we're gonna fix it. 22 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. 23 Thank you very much. 24 Congresswoman Waters came all the way from 25 Los Angeles, but Congressman Jerrold Nadler came all

1 the way from New York City to attend our hearing. 2 Let -- let me tell me also take notice of the fact that Senator Bob Hagan of Youngstown, Ohio, is 3 4 here today. Thank you for coming. 5 It is time now for introduction of material 6 to Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Thank you, 7 Mr. Chairman. I have a couple pieces of evidence that 8 9 I'd like to submit for the record, one of them being a letter from -- that has been sent to all of the heads 10 11 of the Boards of Election across the state of Ohio 12 from Senator Kerry, and it reads as follows, I will go 13 very quickly: Dear Director and Deputy Director, 14 enclosed you will find a letter personally signed by 15 John Kerry. This is a letter by John Kerry. This is a letter from Reverend Jesse Jackson who has been 16 17 appointed -- he has been appointed as his legal 18 counsel with respect to his counsel as president and 19 vice president of the United States, with full 20 authority to act on behalf of him and John Edwards, 21 including appointing witnesses to attend the recount. 22 Also enclosed is a letter personally signed by John 23 Kerry designating witnesses to attend the recount in 24 your county. 25 And it goes on with a final 11 points, and I

1 won't read all 11, but I will go to the couple of 2 them. It says, on behalf of John Kerry and John Edwards, I'm making the following requests regarding 3 4 the conduct of the recount. The selection of 5 precincts for three percent hand count should be 6 according to a scientifically valid random sampling method. I'm aware that you have received a letter 7 from Votewatch regarding this issue with an offer by 8 9 that organization to provide resources to ensure that the sampling method is valid. And, therefore, request 10 11 that each candidate be given the opportunity to select 12 at least one precinct for a hand count -- and it goes 13 on with the request. 14 And this is a letter from the Reverend Jesse 15 Jackson who has been chosen as the legal representative for the Kerry/Edwards team. 16 17 I also have a copy of a newspaper article 18 from the "Cleveland Plain Dealer" on Friday which 19 specifically sets out some dilemmas or irregularities 20 that occurred in the election on a specific precinct 21 over at Benedict High School. And I won't go through 22 all the notes of them, but I believe either Ms. Gallo or Mr. Robbins may include testimony with regard to 23 24 that when they testify today. 25 I thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: So those are 2 three separate items --3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Two 4 separate items. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Two separate 5 items --6 7 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Yes, sir. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: -- that will be 8 9 included in the record of the election. 10 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Thank 11 you. 12 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: In our audience 13 is Ohio State Representative Joyce Bee, and we welcome 14 her. Where is she, please? Thank you. 15 And now we turn to the gentleman from New York, Mr. Gerald Nadler. 16 Nadler: Thank you. Thank you very much. 17 18 I'm going to be very brief, Mr. Chairman. 19 This is the second in a series of hearings; the first one we held in Washington last week. And 20 21 the question of the conduct of this past election and 22 perhaps equally importantly and the question of 23 conduct of future elections. 24 It is very, very clear that in states like 25 Ohio and Florida and probably many other states, a lot

1 of things happened that are aimed at and have the 2 results at suppressing voters, voter suppression. For example, we see patterns of many fewer voting machines 3 4 in minority election districts per capita than in 5 other election districts or in other precincts, so 6 that in certain areas you have lines that stretch up to five or six hours. In other precincts, you don't 7 have any lines at all because of the misallocation of 8 9 voting machines. That's a deliberate or careless, 10 probably deliberate, attempt to suppress the voting 11 areas where you don't want too many voters to come in. 12 Or as you saw in one place, the vote count was done in 13 secret and the Board of Election shut out the press 14 and other observers. And many other things that we've 15 all seen on the Internet and that Blogosphere and that there were recounted in the letters that we sent to 16 17 the general accountability office and that have been 18 sent to our offices. 19 The point is, all of these techniques 20 suppress the vote and make it clear that not every 21 vote is to be counted equally. They are intolerable. 22 They call to question the homily and the reliability 23 and the integrity of our elections. 24 This is not new. Usually, we have been lucky 25 in the sense that elections haven't been that close

1 and in sloppy elections, perhaps, haven't made a 2 difference. But we seem to have entered near a very close elections and that is now intolerable. We 3 4 cannot tolerate electronic voting machines with no 5 paper trail, no ability to find out if the programming 6 was correct, no ability to find out if someone deliberately programmed the machine to switch every 7 8 fifth vote from one party to the other or to discount 9 every seven votes. No way of telling. That's intolerable. 10 11 And the fact is that we ought to have 12 national voting standards. Congress -- Congress ought 13 to pass minimal national voting standards that every 14 state should have to abide by. And we don't need a 15 constitutional amendment for this. In the late 1960s, Congress passed a law prohibiting states from denying 16 17 the vote on account of age to anyone 18 years of age 18 or older. This was several years before the federal 19 constitutional amendment that did the same thing. 20 That statute was held by the courts to be binding on 21 the states for federal elections and elections that affected federal elections. For instance, elections 22 23 through party central committees that had a role in 24 nominating candidates for federal office. 25 So if Congress had the power to bind the

1 states so that 18 year olds could vote by statute, 2 then Congress clearly has the power with respect to at least federal elections and elections that affect 3 4 federal elections to bind the states to certain 5 minimal standards, such as a minimum number of voting 6 machines per 100 voters or per 1,000 voters in the precincts, such as no use of electronic voting without 7 verifiable paper trails, such as many, many other 8 9 things that we can list. And I hope that out of these hearings can 10 11 come some guidelines for legislation that we can pass 12 to impose federal standards that would go way beyond 13 the Help America Vote Act to a very employable piece 14 of legislation. 15 We asked, as you know, the general accountability, and the general accountability office 16 17 has agreed to do a study of the elections. I hope 18 that that study is finished in six or seven months so 19 that it can be used to frame such legislation so that 20 all of this can be in effect before the 2006 21 elections, because this country cannot afford and the civil rights of our people will not tolerate more 22 23 bought elections. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you very

1 much from New York, Congressman Nadler. 2 Now, does anyone need an introduction to 3 Reverend Jesse Jackson, Jr., who is the next witness? 4 Would you want to hear about his lifelong career 5 dedicated to make American justice, the election 6 system and peace work in this country and throughout the world? He is with us. He's just left the 7 courtroom. He's here with us today. Welcome, please, 8 9 the outstanding single American who's done more in this election and in the 2000 election to bring 10 11 justice to the electoral process, Reverend Dr. Jesse 12 Jackson. 13 REVEREND JACKSON: Congressman and Congress, 14 let me express my thanks to you for such a kind and 15 generous introduction. I can't help but think about meeting with you 16 and Dr. King on a rainy night in Chicago in 1966. And 17 18 you were the breakthrough Congressman coming out of 19 Selma, just before the ascension of Richard Hatcher to 20 be the mayor of Gary and Carl Stokes to be the mayor 21 of Cleveland. You are a part of the breakthrough 22 generation. 23 I want to thank you and Congresswoman Maxine 24 Waters and Stephanie Tubbs-Jones for today honoring

the legacy of Dr. King and Rosa Parks and Fannie Lou

25

1 Hamer and Schwermer, Goodman and Chaney, and Liuzzo, 2 those who paid the ultimate price for the state's 3 right to vote. 4 You honor that tradition today by holding 5 this hearing. You, Congressman Nadler, I thank you 6 for your being consistent on this CASE seeking equal 7 protection under the law. 8 But I think we owe a special debt to the 9 Green Party and Libertarians, for they have the kind of so-called main line force of democracy surrendered, 10 11 withdrew and stepped away. 12 These remnants, the Greens, the Libertarians, 13 the Freedom Fighters, have kept the flame burning and 14 they have given us standing in the court. And for 15 that, we're grateful. And for the lawyers who, in great sacrificial sense, have left their ordinary 16 17 duties to be here, because without their prayer --18 without their thought and determination, we would not 19 have a platform today. 20 Of course, to those for Ohio who host us, we 21 thank you in a special way, for it is difficult to 22 express how serious is this meeting. 23 What we are doing today, Stephanie, has been 24 so diminished by the media, diminished by both 25 mainstream parties, diminished. So we really are

1 giants, but we've been given the grasshopper complexes 2 and we tend not to fight back. For those who face these odds, Reverend Weiser, I can't help but think, 3 4 speaking of the odds of this hearing today. 5 Dr. King received the double peace prize in 6 1964. President Johnson gave him a White House reception, he said, thank you so much for the 7 reception, but all Americans deserve the right to 8 9 vote. And president Johnson said, well, I know what you're saying, Dr. King, and you know I'm with you, 10 11 but the fact is, I can't grant you the right to vote. 12 I don't have the power to grant you the right to vote. 13 I wish I could, but I can't. The words of the 14 Congress can, but won't, so you cannot have the right 15 to vote. In 1964. That was 40 years ago. So it is not the Democratic party and not the 16 17 Republican Party and not the Congress, but the remnant 18 of the Freedom Fighters that went to Selma, and 19 through the blood and sacrifice of Viola Liuzzo and 20 John Lewis and Hosea Williams and SNCC and, really, 21 the nameless, faceless forces from the margins. And 22 the margins stood up and gave us light. So on that 23 blood, I want to thank you today for honoring the 24 tradition of those fighters by holding this hearing 25 and how ironic it is that other beneficiaries of that

1 march now stand on the other side.

We make three simple requests. One, we want 2 3 a thorough investigation. We need forensic computer 4 experts to analyze the material if, in fact, there was 5 calibration in the tabulation and manipulation. With 6 the investigation, we want a recount, and we want recuse. Those who ran that system cannot check 7 themselves. We need investigation. We need recount. 8 9 We need recuse. We can afford -- we can afford to lose an 10 11 election. We cannot afford to lose our vote. 12 In some sense, Mr. Kerry earned a concession 13 helped to take away meet the scrutiny and the 14 seriousness of our charge. HAVA, the Help American Vote Act, was not honored as a way to even the playing 15 field. 16 17 We gather today, this is an unbroken line 18 from Selma to Columbus, an unbroken line. Those that 19 we defeated in Selma, the Southern Democrats, are now the Republicans. They changed parties, but they did 20 21 not change their stripes on their etiology of voter 22 denial and voter suppression. 23 It's hard to explain to the people of the 24 world why these November 2nd, the election day, this 25 is December the 11th, six weeks later. Can you

1 imagine getting the results of a Ukrainian election 2 six weeks later? If that election is held in Iraq in January, can you imagine getting the results in the 3 4 Iraq election in March? Can you imagine waiting for 5 six weeks to get the results of a South African 6 election? It would be simply unacceptable. Why has it been six weeks and why are we trying to run out the 7 8 clock?

9 Massive irregularities -- please, if you 10 will, I'm trying to make points. I really wish you 11 wouldn't applaud, because we have no case today more 12 serious than this and we don't want people to do 13 diminish how serious we are and how factual and 14 correct we are by reducing it to anything other than 15 the serious session that it is.

Massive voter irregularity, maybe greater 16 17 than Florida. Massive inconsistencies, schemes of 18 anomalies. 19 Those in charge with vested interest in the 20 outcome should be recused from this process. 21 Voter suppression, target communities among 22 the innocent, the black and the brown, longer lines are more sportish of votes, are more grants to those 23 24 communities, tricked into getting faith-based grants 25 or getting called against threats. With people

1 outdoors and dry machines unused on the inside. The 2 Florida parallels are simply clear. An attempt and preattempt to undermine voters. In Florida it was 3 4 taken off the roll, the prisoners. In Ohio, it was 5 the weight of paper. 6 But the schemes to control the election, 7 before the parallels, are quite definite. Today we at best have the state's right to 8 9 vote, but not the constitutional protected right to vote. I wish we did. But according to the Supreme 10 11 Court in 2000, they argued that it is the 10th 12 Amendment and that our right to vote is not in the 13 affirmative. 14 But whether it is a constitutional amendment Brother Nadler, or whether the Congress is doing its 15 job, the bottom line is our right to vote is not 16 17 protected. 18 We're asking for a full investigation of 19 voter irregularities, especially the voting machines, 20 and an expedited recount of the vote. 21 Elections should not be -- electors should not be seated until the recount is completed. If they 22 do meet tomorrow as scheduled, or if they meet today 23 24 as scheduled, their vote should be considered 25 provisional ballots.

1 I spoke with the secretary just last night 2 and his legal team. I'm here, Congresswoman Jones, as a liaison, not as a legal representative, but as a 3 4 liaison. With an able-bodied members lawyer last 5 night, as well, who are his legal team. Senator Kerry 6 expressed a direct interest in an investigation and recount. He issued 11 points of inquiry, which you 7 8 put in the record. 9 He has observed this on the grounds that all 88 counties seeking specific review. The recalling of 10 11 no votes, 92,000 people are listed as no votes, mostly 12 poor and minority. It is inconceivable that 92,000 13 would show up to vote and not vote for the president, 92,000. 14 15 The rejected provisional ballots, the rejected absentee ballots, his lawyer seeks a direct 16 review of the reason for rejection and any 17 18 documentation for the rejection. 19 Each county had its own rules as to who was 20 rejected and who was, in fact, enfranchised. 21 All counties must have the opportunity to 22 have outside experts to verify and test computer 23 programming on the central tabulating systems to find 24 contingencies. Lawyers have not filed the election 25 contents.

1 Congressman Conyers, in follow-up to last 2 week's Congressional hearings, we thank you today, again. Let me share a few disparities and then we 3 4 shall proceed. We do not believe the Secretary of State is 5 6 part of any conspiracy or that he's engaging in conscious fraud. That is not quite the point. 7 The voting machines are too susceptible to 8 9 glitches, and he admits. Who got glitched? And how many glitches are there? Where were the glitches? Is 10 11 there a pattern of glitches? Who got glitched? 12 Glitches, mistakes happen, even Secretary 13 Blackwell admits that there are glitches and missteps 14 in the election process. He had four years to not 15 have any glitches. Ninety two thousand votes are in process, I 16 repeat. One hundred and fifty five thousand 17 18 provisional ballots are votes that are suscepted to 19 different counties, with Congresswoman Jones in Cleveland. We went to Cincinnati where there were 20 21 three predicts in one room. If you were in line for 22 four hours to vote in Precinct A, but should have been 23 in Precinct B, they would not move you over. They 24 would give you a provisional ballot and go to the back 25 of line B and take some more rain while the machines

1 were dry. We know that happened. I'm a witness. 2 Why were there exit polls, specifically in 3 Ohio, all wrong and outside the margin of error? You 4 here today 180 million to 1 chance of disparity. 5 Why did the optical scan machines freeze in 6 Lucas County using software leased by Diebold and 7 owned by a Bush Texas ranger? Why did the election 8 officials in Warren County get the word that on 9 Thursday that there may be, in fact, a homeland securities alert on Tuesday and, sure enough, it 10 11 happened. 12 Why in Miami County 19,000 votes come in 13 after all precincts had voted, two to one margin for 14 the president? How did South Concord manage a 98.5 15 percent turnout heavily tilted towards president, but a Cleveland precinct heavily tilted towards Kerry just 16 a 7.1 turnout? Affidavits, documentation. 17 18 Computer and analysts have discovered that 19 all votes -- watch this -- in areas using optical 20 scanning machines in New Mexico, all of them went in 21 favor of Bush, even in heavily Democrat precincts. 22 Suggestion. 23 We know that there are glitches in the 24 election machines used on election day. We know that 25 they can be hacked by insiders and outsiders. We know

```
1
      that the owner of Diebold has proprietary software
 2
      that manipulates voting machines.
 3
               We need a complete and thorough investigation
 4
      of voting irregularities. We must have computer
      forensic analysts investigate the voting machines.
 5
 6
              Let this meeting today to not be seated all
7
      the provisional ballots until the voting
      irregularities are completely investigated and until
8
9
      the voter recount has been completed.
10
              I cannot say enough of thanks to city council
11
      persons here, you and the Congress, all of you here
12
      today, you, Ray Miller, because we celebrate
13
      Dr. King's birthday January 15th kind of glibly.
14
              This is the celebration of Dr. King's
      birthday, life and legacy.
15
              I thank you so much.
16
              U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you,
17
18
      Reverend Jesse Jackson.
              Now you can applaud. Now you can cheer. Now
19
20
      you can encourage.
21
              Thank you, Reverend Jesse Jackson.
22
              Congressman Ted Strickland, Ohio, who has
23
      done more on prisons than any other member of
24
      Congress. Let's welcome him for a couple minutes'
25
      worth of remarks.
```

1 Congressman Ted Strickland, Ohio. Thank you. 2 CONGRESSMAN STRICKLAND: Thank you, my dear colleague, representative of Congress. Thank you for 3 4 coming to Ohio. I want to thank all of my colleagues from the 5 6 House of represents and the other distinguished guests who have come to Columbus today to better understand 7 the systematic failures that occurred during the 8 9 recent election. 10 Notice I did not say that 2004 presidential 11 election. We're not here today to talk about John 12 Kerry or George Bush. We're here today to talk about 13 the thousands of Ohio voters who have come forward 14 telling of the widespread problems that occurred on 15 election day, including waiting times of up to 10 hours, counting irregularities, and invalidated 16 provisional ballots. 17 18 We're here because we now have information 19 about malfunctioning voting machines and areas where 20 there were insufficient numbers of the machines. 21 Certainly, that was a huge problem. In fact, I have 22 heard several personal accounts of voters who feel 23 deeply that they were disenfranchised. 24 As we investigate what happened, I think 25 we'll find that there are changes that need to be made

1 to the voting process to ensure that these problems do 2 not happen again and that all Ohioans can have a high level of confidence in the election process. 3 4 All eyes were on Ohio as we approached 5 November the 2nd. They were on Ohio election night, and they are still on Ohio today. Let us show the 6 nation and the world that Ohio can get it right. 7 8 Excuses for mismanagement of the election 9 process are unacceptable. We need real, meaningful solutions. If Congress needs to act, and I believe we 10 11 do, my colleagues, we need to act. Let us waste no 12 time in building a consensus on what the reforms 13 should be. 14 I also think there's a serious problem when the major election official in the state of Ohio 15 allows himself to be engaged as a prime spokesperson 16 17 for an election issue that he himself is responsible 18 for overseeing in an objective manner. That should 19 not happen, and we need to focus on that issue, as 20 well as all the others that have been discussed here 21 today. 22 The main thing is that we not give up, as my 23 colleague from Atlanta, John Lewis, frequently says,

that we not give up, that we not give out, and that we not give in, representatives of Congress, and that we

1 pursue this issue until we can assure justice for 2 every voter in this great country. 3 Thank you. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, 4 Mr. Ted Strickland. 5 6 He was with us in Washington and he's with us 7 here, Professor Robert Fitrakis. 8 MR. FITRAKIS: Thank you. Is this mic on? 9 Is this mic on? Okay. I'd just like to --10 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: I have to 11 caution you, Bob about the time now, so I don't want 12 to have to raise the gavel. 13 MR. FITRAKIS: I can pick up the pace. 14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: You know the 15 drill. MR. FITRAKIS: Yes. 16 17 Again, thank you, Representative John 18 Conyers, from my hometown of Detroit and honorable 19 Congressman and Congresswomen and my good friend, Ray 20 Miller, who immediately got a room at the 21 statehouse -- but apparently they don't believe in 22 transparency or free speech over there anymore -- and 23 Charleta Tavares, who bailed us out. 24 I promised you new information and the eyes 25 of the world are here now. We've already established

1 that we are under a high tech Jim Crow states rights 2 system of voting. And when we point this out, they call us conspiracy theorists. We said that they did 3 4 not deliver the machines. We said they shorted the 5 machines. They called us conspiracy theorists. Now 6 we have it on tape, the words of the Franklin County Board of Elections chair, Bill Anthony, they held back 7 a truckload of about 75 machines while people waited, 8 9 he admits, for five to six hours. They call us conspiracy theorists, when we 10 11 have statisticians here, distinguished academics that 12 will say the odds of the exit polls in Florida, 13 Pennsylvania and Ohio being outside the norm 14 consistently for one man, the president, are 180 15 million to 1, 180 million to 1. If one flips a fair coin 180 million times 16 17 and it comes up heads and only tails once, who is the 18 conspiracy theorists? Or are they not coincidence 19 theorists at a certain point, maybe around a million, 20 should they not inquire as to why that coin is coming 21 up the same side, the Bush side, over and over again. 22 We said that there was dirty tricks that went 23 down here. November 1st, at approximately 10:00, a

24 police call was made. There was a group in town.

25 They called themselves the Texas Strike Force. They
1 had a list. They were calling from a pay phone at the 2 Holiday Inn. They were overheard saying -- we have it on tape from a conservative Republican desk clerk who 3 4 believes in democracy -- if you show up at the polls, 5 you've just got out of prison a few months ago, I will turn you in to the FBI. And who paid for those rooms? 6 The Ohio Democratic Party, according to that night 7 clerk we have -- the Ohio Republican Party. Okay. 8 9 The Ohio -- the Ohio Republican Party. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Glitch. 10 11 MR. FITRAKIS: That was a real glitch. 12 The other things that we now know is that in 13 Warren County, as Jesse Jackson, the Reverend, talked 14 about, premeditated. We also have allegations that 15 not all the ballots were brought to the tent in front of the election senator, but were brought to a 16 17 warehouse where someone described, as a Republican 18 Party hack, had access in that county that went 19 heavily for George Bush. 20 And just in closing, what we also have is 21 Matt Damschroder went before a court on election day 22 and said there was nothing left in the warehouse, there were no machines. Now there's a truckload. 23 24 He's either incompetent, the former head of the 25 Republican Party of Franklin County, or he has

1 committed perjury. 2 I thank you. 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. 4 Robert Fitrakis, we're still in your debt. 5 Cliff Arnebeck of Arnebeck Associates, please 6 come forward. 7 MR. ARNEBECK: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 Bob Fitrakis and I in the company of Reverend 10 Jesse Jackson and Reverend and Mrs. Moss, a short 11 while ago, filed an election contest in the state 12 supreme court. 13 Approximately 15 or 20 minutes later, a 14 motion for a preliminary injunction and temporary 15 restraining order against the electoral college convening and voting today at noon was also filed. 16 The objective of our legal action -- this is 17 18 the second kind of legal action provided under law --19 in addition to the recount, it is the contest, and 20 that has been implemented. 21 A number of members talked about the need for 22 understanding what happened. Representative Waters 23 talked about the need to fix it. Both of their 24 comments are correct. But as lawyers, our undertaking 25 through this legal action is to understand it and

1 correct it now.

2 And when I say now, I mean before the January 6th date when the electoral college votes will be 3 counted in Washington. I would like to -- if I may, 4 Mr. Chairman, I would like to call on three people to 5 6 make very brief remarks. The first is Dr. Ron Baiman who is the expert witness who's part of the -- who 7 submitted an affidavit in the pleadings just filed 8 9 with the Ohio supreme court. And I'm not going to ask for him to testify. I'm simply going to ask him to 10 11 give the punch line of his testimony already given. 12 Dr. Baiman? 13 DR. BAIMAN: Thank you. 14 I'm an economist and statistician from the University of Illinois in Chicago and I also teach at 15 the University of Chicago. 16 17 Many of us were struck by the exit polling 18 that showed John Kerry winning Ohio and all the other 19 critical states except Florida and Colorado. These 20 were polls that were on the CNN web site and other web 21 sites after 12:00 a.m. on the night of the election. 22 As many -- as people have already said here, 23 those exit polls can be wrong in one state, for 24 example, in Ohio, by about a one in a thousand chance, 25 given the margin of error that those polls had in

1 favor -- the error that turned out -- for the election
2 that turned out to be this favor of Bush.

3 If we assume three states, three simultaneous 4 errors, the chance is less than, as Bob said, in the 5 millions. My number is slightly different because I 6 used the certified vote.

We also have a national exit poll we found 7 out was done. And also on the web sites the night of 8 9 the election, a national exit poll for a popular vote showed Kerry winning by 2.4 percent. It was a poll 10 11 with 13,000 voters, so it was a very small variance, a 12 very small error in a poll with that large a sample. 13 Because of that, there's less than a 1 in 25 million 14 chance for a random sample of that kind of error and there's less than 1 in 55,000 chance that that kind of 15 poll error, national exit poll error, could occur for 16 17 the popular vote.

18 The various -- there have been various 19 explanations about possible errors, but none of them appear to be plausible. If it was systemic, why were 20 21 the polls absolutely on target in California and very 22 close in Wisconsin and other states? If there was 23 some group of states for some demographic reason for 24 which the polling was wrong, why did that group open 25 states come to be the critical states? In fact, in 5

out of 11 critical states, the polls were off at the
 five percent level of significance. That's a 1 in 20
 chance. But they were off for only four out of the 35
 noncritical states.

5 All this evidence suggests that the election 6 results are not credible and should not be regarded as a true reflection of the intent of the national 7 electorate or of Ohio voters until a complete and 8 9 thorough investigation of these implausibly erroneous exit polling and/or of discriminatory suppression and 10 11 electoral fraud is completed. Thank you. 12 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you very 13 much. 14 MR. ARNEBECK: Mr. Chairman, a number of 15 people have commented on the problem that arose when we introduced electronic voting into our system. The 16 17 first person in the journalistic community to comment 18 and analyze this problem was Ronnie Dugger, founder of 19 the Texas Observer, founder of the Alliance For 20 Democracy, and he's also author of a current article 21 on the subject in Harper's magazine. I would ask him 22 to make a few brief comments. 23 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Yes. Please, 24 come forward, Mr. Dugger. 25 MR. DUGGER: Thank you.

1 Mr. Chairman, I shall make a series of 2 discontinuous points, if I may? First, 16 years ago, the New Yorker informed 3 4 voters that the DRE system provided the voter no 5 assurance that the vote cast would be counted as cast. 6 It occurred to me recently that perhaps some Texans were reading the New Yorker at that time, and instead 7 of being horrified said, ah-ha. 8 9 Secondly, roughly speaking, 80 to 85 million votes were cast in the last election. And equipment 10 11 controlled and programmed under the authority of 12 Republican dominated corporations. 13 Third, I'd like to quote David Scottsman of 14 Indiana that the secrecy of the ballot has been converted to the secrecy of the vote count. I should 15 like to say in my own voice that computerized voting 16 17 as now practiced in the United States is incompatible 18 with self-government. It is a system of private power 19 that will destroy any democracy in the world in a 20 relatively short time. 21 It is the most anti-Democratic technology 22 ever employed. The head of the CES fee, the dominant company 23 24 in the '70s and '80s, was a man named Prentice Cobb 25 Day, Jr., a close friend of President Regan and a

1 frequent visitor to the White House. 2 The president of CES told me that he sometimes woke up at night afraid somebody would offer 3 4 one of his programmers \$25,000. 5 The president of the Business Records 6 Corporation, the largest company counting votes in the '90s, Perry Esping, told me in the mid-'90s that if 7 somebody in the back room wants to get us, he can get 8 9 us, meaning steel the elections with algorithms. 10 The identification of programmers is a 11 secret. I asked about eight of the major corporations 12 counting votes before this last election to provide me 13 with the names and backgrounds of their computer 14 programmers who were counting the votes, Mr. Chairman. 15 None responded. It seems to me that any investigation needs 16 17 to get the computer programers present, before you, 18 under oath. 19 Local and state officials who were swearing 20 to the truth of the outcome of votes -- of elections 21 of the votes counted in computers are swearing to a 22 truth they cannot know, and I think that might raise 23 some questions at law. 24 Election officials and computer companies in 25 DRE states, in DRE jurisdictions, who, when called for

1 a recount, have a second printout produced and call it 2 a recount are giving a lie to the people, because a 3 second recount is not a recount. 4 The document -- the question of the integrity 5 of the election, the burden of proof, as David Dillon 6 Stanford says, it's on the companies, it's on the computer programmers, and they need to be brought 7 forth into the public and told how they counted our 8 9 votes. 10 Thank you. 11 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, 12 Ronnie Dugger. Thank you for coming. 13 Could you bring your fact witness on very 14 briefly. We have a time problem that's beginning to 15 develop. MR. ARNEBECK: All right. I'm going to 16 17 summarize, then, briefly, rather than introduce 18 another witness. Evan Davis has been recently 19 conducting a study to determine, going around door to 20 door and telephoning, in one of these precincts where 21 there was voter suppression by inadequate machines, 22 how many people wanted to vote and were not able to, 23 and he's come up with a number: 20 percent. So this 24 is something that's been verified. 25 In closing, Mr. Chairman, I want to echo what

1 Bob Fitrakis talked about. We're talking about --2 people talk about conspiracy theorists in a critical way. What we're really dealing with here is naivete 3 4 versus verification. And we intend to verify. 5 Thank you very much. 6 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, very 7 much. Our next witness is David Cobb. Please come 8 9 forward, sir, and welcome back to our hearing. MR. COBB: Thank you, Congressman Conyers. 10 11 For the record, my name is David Cobb, and I 12 am the Green Party 2004 presidential candidate. And 13 as the presidential candidate in Ohio this year, it is 14 and was my statutory right, under Ohio law, to seek a 15 recount of the presidential vote in this state. After the election, my office received a 16 flood of complaints about Ohio and Florida and 17 18 Michigan, and interestingly enough, all of the swing 19 states with large populations. Our office began to 20 receive reports that -- of machine failures and 21 breakdowns. And interestingly enough, every one of 22 these glitches, Reverend Jackson, always seemed to 23 favor one of the presidential candidates: That is, 24 George Bush. 25 We also received reports that amounted to

intentional fraud in terms of voting suppression,
 specifically targeted at African-American communities
 and on college campuses. This was voter suppression
 and intimidation.

So on November 19th, over three weeks ago, I 5 6 formally demanded a recount by notifying each and every one of Ohio's 88 county election directors and 7 the secretary state of Ohio of my demand. We posted 8 9 the required filing fee and requested a hand recount of all the ballots cast in all precincts in the state 10 11 of Ohio. It was paid in full on November 19th. 12 And, Congressman Conyers, on November 19th, 13 it must be said, over half of all of the counties in 14 Ohio had already completed their initial canvas. That 15 recount could have and should have started at that date, but it was not. 16 Today, December 13th, the day that the 17 18 presidential electors are meeting to cast their 19 provisional votes in Ohio, the recount finally begins in only 8 of Ohio's counties. The other 80 counties 20 21 will presumably begin throughout the week. 22 We have to ask, why has this process been 23 delayed so long when the election has been over for 24 six weeks? How is it that the state of Washington has

25 already begun a statewide recount and begun a second

1 one before Ohio even begins.

2 The answer is no, it has been alluded in 3 states specifically and I will state it again, because 4 of the partisan manipulation of Republican Secretary of State Blackwell in this state. And, representative 5 6 Conyers, it is not merely that Kenneth Blackwell is a 7 Republican. It is that he served as the chair of the 8 Bush/Cheney re-election effort. 9 If we were to go to a sporting event and see one of the teams providing all the referees and 10 11 umpires, we'd get suspicious. Well, we're suspicious 12 now. 13 Congressman Conyers, we've asked the federal 14 judiciaries to intervene. And although two federal 15 district judges have affirmed my right to a recount, 16 they have declined to expedite the process, I believe, undermining the need for a constitutional right to 17 18 vote so that any citizen could have ensured that that 19 recount would be done. 20 It is clear to me that the people of this 21 nation need and deserve that constitutionally 22 guaranteed right to vote and, Representative Adler, as 23 well as needing universal standards for the vote 24 counting. It's not either or. It's both and, 25 Representative.

1 We have faced tremendous obstacles in our 2 efforts to seek our statutory right to a recount. We were sued by Delaware County, Ohio, in their effort to 3 4 block the recount in that county. We prevailed again 5 in federal court; although, a Delaware County judge did, in fact, issue a temporary restraining order 6 against my legal counsel. 7 We've been unable to have even Mr. Blackwell 8 9 clarify critical issues concerning mechanics of the recount process, and in good faith, my legal counsel 10 11 sent a letter on December 7, seeking clarification, 12 Representative Conyers. In the interest of time, I'll 13 introduce that to the record in total. But in that 14 letter, we specifically requested assistance and clarifications from Secretary of State Blackwell, 15 which he refused. 16 17 My campaign has also filed for recounts in 18 New Mexico where, like in Ohio, there were numerous 19 problems with electronic voting machines. I raise the 20 status of those other states just to point out that 21 there is no single standard for conducting elections 22 or recounts in our county. 23 Today, the presidential electors will cast 24 their votes. Yet, here in Ohio, over 100,000 of those 25 so-called spoiled and provisional ballots still remain

1 uncounted.

2 We often hear people saying that voting 3 doesn't matter or that my vote doesn't count. Sadly, 4 as the presidential electors gather under a cloud of 5 suspicion in Ohio, these people are being proved 6 correct. 7 It is up to us, the people, and you, our representatives, to stand up, and I thank you for 8 9 doing that. 10 Representative Conyers, I have the most 11 recent breaking information that I -- it will be very 12 brief, but this is, I think, very important. It's 13 probably the most troubling incident that has been 14 related to my campaign. It was related only on 15 Sunday, December 12th, regarding a very shocking event 16 that occurred last Friday, December 10th. The person who is reporting it at this point wishes to remain 17 18 anonymous, but I bring it to this committee because 19 this must be investigated. A representative from Triad systems came into 20 21 this county's Board of Election office unannounced, that is, on this Friday. He said he was just stopping 22 23 by to see if they had any questions about the upcoming 24 recount. 25 He then headed into the back room where the

1 Triad supplied tabulator -- that is the machine that 2 counts the ballots -- is kept. This Triad representative told them that there was a problem with 3 4 this system, that the system had a bad battery and 5 had, quote, lost all its data, end quote. He then 6 took the computer apart and started swapping parts in and out of it and in another spare tower PC also in 7 the room. He may have had spare parts in his coat, as 8 9 one of the people moved in and remarked how very heavy it was. He finally resembled everything and said it 10 11 was working, but not to turn it off. 12 He then asked which precinct would be counted 13 in the three percent recount test, and the one which 14 had been selected, as if it had the right number of votes was relayed to him. He then went back and did 15 something else to the tabulator. 16 17 The Triad systems representative suggested 18 that since the hand recount had to match the machine 19 count exactly and since it would be hard to memorize 20 the several numbers which would be needed to get the 21 count to come out exactly right, that they should post 22 a series of numbers on the wall where they would not 23 be noticed by the observers, such as to make them look 24 like employee information or something similar. 25 The people doing the hand count could then,

1 he said, just report those numbers, no matter what 2 they actually counted in the ballots. This would 3 then, quote, match, end quote, the tabulator reports 4 at this precinct exactly. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: David Cobb, I 5 6 need you to arrange a meeting with our staff 7 immediately, as soon as possible, so we can go into 8 detail on this matter. 9 MR. COBB: Thank you. I know, Representative Convers, that you -- in the interest of brevity, I 10 11 will stop. It is shocking. It is going on across the 12 states. 13 Thank you representative. 14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Mr. Chairman? 15 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: My staff has been so directed and we will look forward to getting 16 into this. This could be a very, very serious matter 17 18 that, again, has come up since our hearings in 19 Washington, D.C. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Mr. Chairman? 20 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Yes, ma'am? 21 22 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Without revealing the name of the technocrat that came in from 23 24 Triad, I'd just like to ask if he knows the name of 25 the person who came in and --

1 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Could we 2 hold -- I'd rather us do that. You'll be at the meeting with the staff. I don't want to go over 3 4 anything further on this. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: I don't want to 5 6 know the name. I just want to know if he knows the 7 name. 8 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: We want to go 9 into all of that, but I don't want to press that. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: He does? Thank 10 11 you. 12 MR. COBB: Yes. A first name is known and we 13 believe we can identify him. 14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: All right. Thank you. Thank you. 15 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Briefly, NAACP 16 National Voter Fund Executive Director, Mr. Gregory 17 18 Moore. Welcome. 19 MR. MOORE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 And I want to start off by first 21 acknowledging the years of work I've done both with 22 you and the U.S. Congress, with Reverend Jesse 23 Jackson, for eight years of my life on voter 24 registration and with the work of Congresswoman 25 Stephanie Tubbs-Jones and Maxine Waters and the work

1 that she did on the election reform in 2002. I come 2 today to bring the name of the NAACP Nation Voter Fund in support of all the groups who have been working 3 4 here for the past several weeks to keep this issue in 5 the forefront of our --6 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. We 7 were waiting for the NAACP. They finally got here. MR. MOORE: Thank you. We've actually been a 8 9 part of about four hearings. We've come and heard testimony. We also sat in the audience and listened 10 11 and took notes. 12 But we know one thing: That is that the 13 brave work the people have done here with the Free 14 Press, with CASE, the National Voting Rights Institute, has all done what we have always felt was 15 right: Count of the votes. And the NAACP National 16 17 Voter Funds joins in the call for a recount of all the 18 votes cast on November 2nd in the state of Ohio. 19 And just as there were undervotes and 20 overvotes in Florida, there are undervotes and 21 undervotes and overvotes in Ohio, and these ballots, 22 Mr. Chairman, are not being included in the recounts 23 that are going on now. So those 90,000 ballots, from 24 what I understand, are not being included in the 25 recounts that are taking place in the state right now.

1 We don't know the impact of the 35,000 people 2 who were threatened with being challenged on November 3 2nd. And we know that many of those people were 4 afraid to show up because they felt intimidated. 5 And thank you for your hearing in Washington 6 and all of you who joined us in Washington, because those people who stood in line here in Ohio and stood 7 in the rain are akin to the people in the civil rights 8 9 era who stood in at lunch counters and courthouses to get the right to vote, so they join the rank of those 10 11 people who Reverend Jackson mentioned earlier. 12 The NAACP Voter Fund registered over 80,000 13 voters in the state of Ohio since January 1st and 14 200,000 across the country. We know that were more 15 people registered in Ohio than probably anytime in the short period of time, and yet nothing was done -- or 16 17 probably something was done to dilute the efforts of 18 those registration efforts, and that is why we're 19 here, also. 20 We hired over 600 community activists who 21 worked the streets and flushed the votes out in those 22 neighborhoods. 23 What happened here in Ohio is a microcosm of 24 the voting rights problems all over the country. And 25 these hearings that have taken place over the last

1 five weeks have now placed this in the forefront of 2 the nation's attention.

3 Our democracy is in grave danger when we the 4 people relinquish to machines the power to decide who 5 governs our nation.

6 We know that, from our day-to-day lives, that 7 cars break down, computers crash. In Ohio, we saw the 8 whole electronic system come apart in Ohio and across 9 the country because of computer error. So everybody 10 in this room knows that computers make errors and we 11 need to make sure that the errors they made in our 12 democracy are fixed.

13 I want to point out to you, Mr. Chairman, 14 that in all the hearings that I attended, the 15 Secretary of Ohio not only did not attend the hearings, but to my knowledge, never sent a 16 17 representative to any of the hearings to listen to the 18 voices of the people who had legitimate concerns about 19 the -- about the issues that were being raised. And 20 imagine a Secretary of State of any state not coming 21 to hear the voices of people in those states as they 22 express those issues over and over again. 23 And so the NAACP joins with Reverend Jackson 24 and our ally in calling the Secretary of State to

recuse himself in the recount process in order to

1 guarantee a free and fair recount.

2 We want all the votes counted, especially those who stood in the rain. And we also want to make 3 4 this point that Maxine Waters made earlier, that a 5 large percentage of the disenfranchised voters were 6 denied the right to vote, even though the names were on the ballot for federal offices in both, the right 7 and the wrong precincts were the same. People were 8 9 being sent home, even though they could vote for 10 president and could vote for Senate in either of 11 those. And in some cases in Cuyahoga County, there 12 were many places where people were uncontested, like 13 Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones. So it didn't 14 matter if you were in the wrong precinct, you could still vote for Stephanie Tubbs-Jones, you could still 15 vote for president and all that or the senator. So 16 17 there are no wrong precincts when it comes to 18 president or when it comes to U.S. Senate. 19 Finally, the NAACP joins in a call for 20 investigation into the voting machines. We applaud 21 the efforts of the committee and the house district 22 committee members who have asked the GAL to do a full 23 account. And the NAACP National Voter Fund makes it a 24 commitment to our voters that we will make sure that 25 all votes are counted and that we are not going to

1 concede in that battle. And the work that was done to 2 pass the Help America Vote Act, we hope, can be brought to light and to be strengthen by the efforts 3 4 of the hearings and the words of the people of Ohio. 5 Thank you so much. 6 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, 7 NAACP. The general counsel of the National Voting 8 9 Institute, Attorney John Bonifaz. MR. BONIFAZ: Mr. Chairman, members of the 10 11 committee, thank you for being here today. Thank you 12 for your leadership. My name is John Bonifaz. I am the founder 13 14 and general counsel of the National Voting Rights 15 Institute based in Boston. We are a national nonpartisan, nonprofit organization dedicated to 16 17 protecting the right of all citizens to vote and to 18 participating in the electoral process on an equal and 19 meaningful basis. We serve as co-counsel for Green 20 Party presidential candidate David Cobb and 21 Libertarian Presidential candidate Michael Badnarik in 22 their demand for a full recount of all votes cast in 23 Ohio for president in the 2004 general election. 24 Four years ago at this time, the United 25 States Supreme Court stopped the vote counting in the

1 state of Florida, and for the first time, selected the 2 president of the United States. It marked a dark moment in our nation's history. 3 4 Since that time, people throughout this 5 country committed to the vision of democracy, pledged to never let it happen again. Never again would we 6 allow an individual to assume the power of the 7 presidency without the proper counting of all the 8 9 votes. Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, we 10 11 are dangerously close to allowing it to happen again. 12 Today, in the State Capitol of Ohio, we are 13 witnessing a crime, a crime against democracy, a crime 14 against the right to vote, a crime against the 15 constitution. Only steps away from this hearing room, Ohio 16 Secretary of State J. Kenneth Blackwell is presiding 17 18 over a meeting of individuals who claim to have won 19 the right to serve as presidential electors for the state of Ohio in the electoral college. Mr. Blackwell 20 21 and this group gather while a full recount begins of 22 all votes cast in Ohio for president of the United 23 States. 24 Mr. Blackwell has done everything in his 25 power to push through a slate of electors based on an

1 untested initial count.

2 In enacting its recount law, the Ohio legislature ensured that a proper counting of all the 3 4 votes could include a recount. As candidates for the 5 office of president of the United States, David Cobb and Michael Badnarik have exercised their right under 6 the law and have sought a recount. 7 8 Mr. Blackwell has no right to convene a 9 meeting of presidential electors prior to the completion of this recount. And individuals who ran 10 11 as candidates for presidential electors have no rights 12 to cast votes for president in the electoral college 13 prior to the completion of this recount.

14 This meeting of the electoral college in Ohio 15 today is illegitimate. It is in violation -- it is in 16 violation of the United States constitution. It marks 17 an utter contempt for the promise of democracy and the 18 rule of law. It must not go unchallenged. We refuse 19 to be silent in the face of this crime.

Today, a full recount has begun of all the votes cast in Ohio for president in the 2004 general election. While Mr. Blackwell presides over this illegitimate gathering in the state capital, he is also ignoring his responsibility to ensure that this recount is conducted in accordance with uniform

1 standards as required by the equal protection and due 2 process clauses of the 14th Amendment to the U.S. 3 Constitution. 4 As a result, this recount is proceeding in 5 the midst of inconsistent standards throughout the state. Some counties are recounting provisional 6 ballots that have been rejected; others are not. Some 7 8 counties are recounting punch card ballots labeled as 9 overvotes or undervotes; others are not. Some 10 counties are providing access to poll books and voting 11 records; others are not. 12 Mr. Chairman, we submitted a letter on 13 December the 7th, the day after Secretary Blackwell 14 certified the statewide result of the presidential vote. We submitted a letter to him outlining in 15 detail all of the problems that we foresaw with 16 17 respect to this recount and the lack of uniform 18 standards throughout the state. We have yet to 19 receive any response to that letter. 20 Last Friday, we filed a motion in federal 21 court here in Columbus seeking a court order requiring 22 Secretary Blackwell to ensure that this recount is 23 conducted in accordance with equal protection and due 24 process guarantees. While the court would not grant 25 emergency relief, primarily since Senator Kerry is not

1 separately asking for this recount, the court, 2 nevertheless, indicated the counties which conduct their recounts in violation of the U.S. Constitution 3 4 could be ordered to do it again. 5 We will fight to ensure that this recount is 6 meaningful and that it is conducted consistent with uniform standards throughout the state. 7 Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, while 8 9 December the 13th, 2004, is an important date in the 10 continuing struggle for the right to vote, another 11 critical moment will soon be upon us. On January 6th, 12 2005, you and your colleagues in the United States 13 Congress will formally receive the votes of the 14 electoral college. If at that time the recount in 15 this state is still proceeding or if in that time the recount has demonstrated that a different set of 16 17 electors won the state of Ohio, we urge you, in 18 accordance with your duty under the constitution, to 19 object to the formal declaration of the winner of the 20 electoral college vote. 21 The nation is at a crossroads. We can stand 22 by while our democracy erodes around us or we can 23 stand up and fight for the dignity of our nation and for the dignity of ourselves. And for the memory of 24

25 those who struggled before us, we must choose to

1 fight. 2 Thank you very much. 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. 4 Thank you. The chair recognizes Stephanie Tubbs-Jones 5 6 and asks Congressman Nadler to assume the chair. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Thank you, 7 Mr. Chairman. 8 9 And, again, I'd like to welcome Congressman 10 Nadler to the state of Ohio. 11 It gives me great pleasure to have the 12 opportunity to bring for testimony two people from my 13 Congressional district who have been working very, 14 very diligently preelection and post election. It 15 gives me great pleasure to bring to you, from the 16 Greater Cleveland Voter Registration Coalition, the study leader Professor Norman Robbins and the 17 18 coalition coordinator Judy Gallo. They testified in 19 Washington. I'm pleased that they come again to come to this area from Ohio. I'm so happy that they're my 20 21 constituents. 22 Thank you very, very much. And you can choose what order to go. 23 24 MS. GALLO: Good morning. I appreciate being 25 here. It's a great honor. I'm the coconvener of the

1 Greater Cleveland Voter Registration Coalition and 2 also a graduate of one of the finest university in this country: SNCC. My instructors included John 3 4 Lewis, Julian Bond, Joseph Carmichael and many others. 5 Much attention has been focused today and in other hearings on what took place on election day 6 itself, and that is well founded. I would say, 7 8 however, that there were things that happened long 9 before election day that affected the outcome and the 10 disenfranchised many voters, particularly minorities, 11 young people, poor people. I speak specifically about 12 two things: One is the absentee ballot situation; the 13 other is the training of poll workers. 14 Let me take poll workers first. If you have the task of training 6,000 people to serve as poll 15 workers and they need to absorb everything that you 16 17 and I have heard today and have been studying for 18 months and years, they have to absorb all of that and 19 know how to deal with voters in an intelligent 20 fashion. That is too much to ask that they do this in 21 two, two-and-a-half hours. In addition, many people 22 are aware that the average age of poll workers is 72. 23 Cuyahoga County, to its credit, has a program 24 to recruit high school students, which I think needs 25 to be expanded nationwide and can be a model for

changing that situation. It's just too much to expect
 people, any of us here, to work from 6:00 a.m. until
 8:30, 9:00 p.m. and serve adequately the public and
 the voters.

5 The second thing I wanted to deal with is the absentee ballot dilemma. Yesterday, I downloaded, 6 again, from Secretary of State Blackwell's web site, 7 the application form for absentee ballots. It says on 8 9 there and it cites as its reference Revised Code Section 3507.9. It says that if you request an 10 11 absentee ballot, you cannot vote in your precinct in 12 person. Whether you get it or not, whether you fill 13 it out or not, the implication is, you cannot vote if 14 you simply request it.

15 In point of truth, you can, but how is a 16 voter going to know that? We got tons of calls from 17 voters who said, I never got my absentee ballot, it 18 never arrived, what can I do? Can I vote? The 19 application says I can't. Well, we had to say, call 20 the Board of Elections.

21 But it's been reported in the "Plain Dealer" 22 that Michael Voo says, yes, in fact, you can go and 23 vote. How many people didn't know that and didn't 24 even try? We have no idea. We're in the process of 25 calling 250 people whose absentee ballot applications

1 we personally delivered to the board to find out if 2 they got them in time and if they successfully voted, and if they didn't, what they did do. 3 4 We have had reports from people who said they 5 flew back from Florida to vote in Ohio because they 6 never got their absentee ballot. Another person said she overnighted, at her own expense, her ballot to 7 8 make sure it got in on time because she received it so 9 late. That's fine, if you have the means to do it. 10 But if you're a poor person, you can't go to that 11 expense. 12 So these are two particular things of the 13 very many that could be discussed that I think need to 14 be addressed and changed by federal standards being 15 enacted and applied universally. I want to now turn the microphone over to my 16 17 colleague, Dr. Robbins, who did a very meticulous 18 study on voter disenfranchisement and can present 19 concrete data to the committee. 20 Thank you. 21 MR. ROBBINS: Thank you very much for having 22 us here and listening to our information and our 23 experience from Cuyahoga County. 24 I am Norman Robbins. I am an emeritus 25 professor at Case Western Reserve and I've been

working for about a year with Judy Gallo and the
 coalition, also led a couple of studies I'm going to
 tell you about very briefly. The full information is
 in the packs that you've received.

5 Just let me say that if you split up the 6 voting process into, let's say, 15 steps from when the voter first learns about registration until the moment 7 that that person's vote is finally tabulated, from my 8 9 experience in this past year, I would say the majority of those steps are such that youth, poor and 10 11 minorities are disproportionately disenfranchised. 12 And every one of those steps needs to be looked at, I 13 hope by you, with this in mind. 14 I'm going to come back to that and I'm going to give you an example right now. In Cuyahoga County 15 when we heard from voters we were registering that 16 17 they never received any word back of confirmation from 18 the Board of Elections, we began first and then the 19 second set of studies of about 9,600 registrations

20 which we have made copies of and then submitted to the 21 Board of Elections.

22 We tracked down what happened to them. What 23 we found -- and this is in your packets -- is that 24 about three-and-a-half percent of those fell afoul. 25 Either they will never entered, their addresses were not updated or there were mistakes in entering their
 addresses. All of those would either completely or
 partially disenfranchise people or force them to vote
 provisional. That data is in your packets.

5 As a result of the projection from our study 6 of these 9,600 to the whole 312,000 new nonduplicate 7 registrations in Cuyahoga County, we estimate that 8 over 10,000 people in Cuyahoga County alone were 9 disenfranchised or their votes compromised in this 10 manner.

11 A second study came about because we began 12 hearing stories on the day of election that people who 13 had voted repeatedly, time after time, would show up 14 at the polls and then be told, your name is not on the 15 rolls. You have to vote provisionally, if they were told that. Many of these people were told, sorry, you 16 17 can't vote. This gets back to what Judy Gallo said 18 about poll worker training. But those that insisted 19 voted provisional, and in many cases, their 20 provisional ballots were rejected as NR, not 21 registered. 22 We did a computer search. We compared the

23 data of all registered voters on two dates before the 24 election: August 17th and October 22nd. And much to 25 our surprise, we found in the first pass through this,

1 doing this searches, about 450 people who had been on 2 the rolls within this 90-day period -- when you should not be purged for any other reasons except 3 4 incarceration, death and moving out of the county --5 that these people had been mysteriously dropped from the polling lists, were forced to vote provisionally 6 and had their provisional votes rejected. 7 8 We brought this to the attention of the Board 9 of Elections on the date when they were going to certify. We said, you can't go ahead and certify the 10 11 rejections of these provisional ballots because here's 12 data. We gave them very detailed data sheets with 13 names and addresses saying, you must look into this. 14 We they went right ahead and certified the election. They said, oh, this was just human error. And they 15 went right ahead, knowing that they had probably 16 17 disenfranchised American citizens. 18 Now, I made a statement before about the 19 disproportionate affect of these kind of practices. 20 And these were only 2 of the, say, 15 practices of 21 running an election. But in this case, we took the 22 percentage of rejected provisionals and we looked at 23 how did that fit with either poverty or with minority, 24 percent African-Americans. And you have in your

packets a map, which is one of the pieces of data I've

25

1 submitted which shows a color coding where you can see 2 red are the areas where more than 37 percent of provisional ballots were rejected. Mind you, there is 3 4 one ward in Cleveland, a majority African-American 5 ward, where 51 percent of the provisional ballots were 6 rejected. 7 The red dots of these higher than 37 percent rejections are all in heavily African-American areas. 8 9 The yellow dots, which are the next most, are lesser African-American, but also poor areas. And finally, 10 11 the green dots are very low levels of rejection out in 12 the suburbs where people are wealthy. We did the 13 stats on this and the stats show that this is a highly 14 significant correlation. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Can I ask you a 15 question, sir? 16 MR. ROBBINS: Yes, sir. 17 18 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Did you say 19 there were 237 people who disappeared from the lists? MR. ROBBINS: No. In the first pass, we 20 21 found approximately 460. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Four hundred and 22 23 sixty. When you brought this to the attention of the 24 Board of Elections --25 MR. ROBBINS: Yes.

1 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: -- they ignored 2 it? 3 MR. ROBBINS: We gave them complete data of 4 names and addresses. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Did anyone, you 5 6 or they, ever ascertain as to why these names were 7 dropped from the voter lists or by whom? 8 MR. ROBBINS: This is one of our huge 9 frustrations is that we have not been able to get answers when we've submitted either the results of the 10 11 first study or the second one, these names and 12 addresses of people who we thought had been dropped. 13 We said, please check into it. That was on October 14 30th. I've heard nothing directly from the director 15 of the Board of Elections ever since then. Now, I hear from a reporter today that he's 16 looking into it. Well, great. How about working with 17 18 the citizens and letting us know what's happening. 19 This has been a major frustration of this whole process. A major frustration is we wanted to be 20 21 constructive. Our interest was never to be critical. 22 Our interest was to reenfranchise voters, as Judy 23 said, people who were in the registration process and 24 now in the provisional process. 25 And we cannot -- and this is important for

1 federal registration, this point that I'm making, 2 which is that there was very little response to very legitimate concerns, criticisms. 3 4 We wrote a letter on July 27th to Secretary 5 Blackwell, which is also in your packet, laying out 6 very, very clear, constructive criticisms. There wasn't an ounce of criticism in there. These were 7 constructive ideas for how to improve the elections in 8 9 several different ways based on experience. There was 10 never any reply. 11 We followed up. It took weeks to go by 12 before -- and be pointed out that this had to be done 13 quickly in time of the election. 14 The same thing has been true at Cuyahoga 15 County. We had repeatedly asked, for instance, when we found out in the first study that voters' 16 17 registrations that we had handed in had simply been 18 dropped, never entered, we said, oh, let's inform all 19 the voters. Let's go out there and tell voters before 20 the deadline, check to be sure you're registered, 21 right? Makes sense, because you might be among these 22 10,000. 23 The director of the Cuyahoga County Board of 24 Elections accused me of inciting panic for doing this. 25 But fortunately, Congresswoman Tubbs-Jones and many

1 other community leaders joined in and helped us get 2 the word out to the public, and I do believe we saved a lot of people from being disenfranchised. But we 3 4 had to do that. We had no help. 5 The Board of Election is supposed to be an 6 advocate of the voter. But what's turned out in Cuyahoga County is that we've become the advocate of 7 the voter and the Board of Election is opposing us on 8 9 every single point along the way. This is an 10 intolerable situation. 11 And let me just get to some positive 12 suggestions, and I'll conclude. I think that federal 13 voting legislation should insistent that as a 14 condition for receiving federal funding, like HAVA 15 money, the states must stop and/or repair all 16 election-related procedures that have been shown, like 17 the one I talked about, to produce disproportionate 18 disenfranchisement, directly or indirectly. By the 19 same token, all new procedures must meet this 20 standard. 21 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Let me ask you, why shouldn't they have to stop all procedures that 22 23 produce disenfranchisement disproportionately or 24 proportionately? 25 MR. ROBBINS: Well, I think it's when a
1 particular sector of citizens, whatever that sector 2 is, whether they're elderly --U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Why shouldn't 3 4 any procedure that produces disenfranchisement, 5 whether or not it discriminates, be eliminated? 6 MR. ROBBINS: Well, in fact, all of these do disenfranchise a large group of people, but then some 7 more than others. That's what I mean to say. Yes, 8 9 thank you for the -- that's the correction. But I think it's within the federal domain to 10 11 insist that this be the case and you can hold the 12 purse strings which would make the people listen. 13 The second kind of recommendation that you 14 have the power, I believe, to pass, is to demand that every -- at least at every state level, there be a 15 citizen advisory committee that's bipartisan, broadly 16 17 constructed from grassroots organizations, widely 18 representative, that would have the role of being both 19 a watchdog and also a place where constructive ideas can come into the election process. And that this be 20 21 demanded and that this committee file a report once a 22 year to show that, yes, they have been heeded. 23 Because we sure have not been heeded in Cuyahoga 24 County and we sure have not been heeded by secretary 25 Blackwell.

1 Thank you very much. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Mr. Chairman. 2 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Please. 4 Congresswoman Waters. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: I don't 5 6 understand, based on your testimony, that there were 7 people who registered to vote and never got entered, 8 so their names didn't show up, would those persons 9 have -- would they have known where their polling 10 places were? 11 MR. ROBBINS: Absolutely not. 12 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Because if they 13 were never entered, then they never would have 14 received -- I don't know whether you did a data sample 15 ballot or what have you, that would indicate where 16 your polling place is. 17 MR. ROBBINS: Correct. 18 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: So if they ask 19 for a provisional ballot and they were given one and 20 they were in the so-called wrong polling place, then 21 they were rejected; is that right? 22 MR. ROBBINS: Exactly. 23 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: But also, more 24 equally to the point, if they were never entered, and 25 assuming that somehow they found the right place and

1 they cast their provisional battle at the right place, 2 that provisional ballot would not be counted because when it was checked against at the polling place, 3 4 there would be nothing there. MR. ROBBINS: Exactly. And we showed the 5 6 Board of Elections, on the day they certified, we showed them copies of registration cards that our 7 coalition had handed in. We have a very regular 8 9 procedure of copying them and handing them in once a 10 week. 11 And it's a very responsible organization, if 12 I must say so myself. And we had copies of the cards 13 of people who had not ever been entered into the 14 system. And sure enough, some of them showed up on the provisional ballot reject list as not registered. 15 And we know --16 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: And have any of 17 18 these people bring a lawsuit against the board? 19 MR. ROBBINS: Yes. In fact, we thought of 20 that and we did have a lawsuit asking the board to 21 look into the original cards where they might have 22 misentered addresses, to search for cards that could 23 have been lost that we had handed in, and that lawsuit 24 was denied by a judge, Federal Judge Mattea

25 (phonetic). He said -- I don't have the exact quote,

1 but something like, he was, quote, satisfied that the 2 procedures in place are quite adequate, and that if they weren't, the provisional battle mechanism would 3 4 take care of it. 5 Well, guess what, it sure didn't, and the procedures weren't in place. Wrong on both accounts. 6 7 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Chairman Nadler. 8 9 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Congresswoman 10 Tubbs-Jones. 11 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Thank you. 12 Again, I want to thank Ms. Gallo and 13 Dr. Robbins for all the work that they did. 14 We, on a number of occasions, attempted to 15 try to do some stopgap measures to create -- correct some of the problems. We did radio spots. We sent 16 out notices to churches. One thing that the Board of 17 18 Elections did, in fact, do, but it didn't give us a 19 lot of time, was to put a newspaper ad in the paper 20 saying to people, you have your registration, but 21 there's something keeping it from being verified, you left out a Social Security number. And we tried to do 22 23 the process. But some of the problems were so 24 overwhelming, it was difficult to correct them all. 25 But I just, again, want to applaud

Dr. Robbins and Ms. Gallo for the work they did in this area. And it's just representative of what we need to do to continue to assure that everyone has a right to vote and that the vote be counted and to look at whether or not the funding for HAVA that went to the state never got -- made its way to the local Board of Elections.

8 I don't believe any of the local Boards of 9 Election ever got any money to do some of the things 10 that HAVA required. Most of that money stayed in the 11 Secretary of State's office and he chose how he would 12 spend the money for the elections versus letting the 13 counties do the work.

14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Thank you very
15 much. Mr. Robbins, Ms. Gallo, I thank you very much
16 for your testimony.

Reverend Jackson, would you like to be

17

18 recognized? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No need to hear from 19 20 the regular citizens of Ohio? Let them speak. 21 REVEREND JACKSON: One of my concerns is that 22 we struggled against the attempt to marginalize these hearings. It's a kind of media boycott of what we're 23 24 doing, as if somehow we are in the margins and we are 25 diminished, that we are dealing with something that's

1 already over.

2 It's not already over. We really do need CNN to do a televised hearing. We want to get them to 3 4 broadcast democrats around the world to engage in the 5 significant testimony of content to be on nationally 6 global television. If you think that the soldiers in Iraq have 7 something to say to Rumsfeld, we have something to 8 9 say, as well. The second issue is that we need to try to 10 11 get at least one U.S. Senator, perhaps Senator Reid 12 and our Senator Kerry, at least come hear what we have 13 to say. Because at this point in time -- at this 14 point Congress cannot diminish us as, again, 15 exercising as kind of a professional, regular routine protesters. We really need to call upon Congress 16 17 people, Senator Reid and Kerry, to come to a hearing 18 and soon, before January the 6th, to give legitimacy 19 to the brokenness of this machinery. 20 Last, this bucket does have a hole in it, and 21 whatever it is that George Wallace did in the '60s, he 22 was cruel compared to Jim Crow, Esquire. Jim Crow, 23 Esquire, in the south, never had this level of 24 electronic sophistication of stepping into the 25 marginalization of voters and just wiping out people

1 in mass in this way.

2 That was a crude, but this is a refined system of control, manipulation, disenfranchisement 3 4 that has social acceptance. This is not a dog biting 5 or a horse kicking, which incites people to react and to fight back. This is real, cold blooded, odorless, 6 tasteless gas which we cannot adjust to like we've 7 adjusted to a bigger knowledge that we go into Iraq 8 9 and people are still dying. It's like, that's the deal now. 10 11 But lest we forget, and I close for the 12 record, in the last six years we've lost 4 million 13 deaths in the Congo, plus 100,000 to date in Iraq, 14 which is an awful number, of 1,200 Americans. We have lost 900,000 in Rwanda, 4 million in 6 years, and it's 15 not even on the radar screen. So in some sense, I get 16 the diminishing stuff in the margins. 17 18 So that's why I want to thank you for being 19 here, but we must struggle not to get this out of 20 here. Senator Reid, we want a response from him, not 21 just Republicans. We need Senator Reid and Senator Kerry and -- thank you very much. 22 23 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you, 24 Reverend Jackson. Thank you, Reverend Jackson. 25 We now call Joan Quinn and Eve Rokerson next.

1 Please come forward, ladies. Welcome.

2 MS. QUINN: Thank you. My name is Joan Quinn. I'm a 57-year-old retired research attorney 3 4 from Sacramento, California. I spent 22 years as a professional staff research attorney with two 5 California superior courts. 6 I flew here with Eve Rokerson and arrived in 7 Dayton, Ohio, Wednesday the 8th. We immediately got 8 9 busy and were researching the Ohio election codes the night of Wednesday the 8th. 10 11 I might refer briefly to key provisions of 12 the Ohio Revised Code Sec Title 35. Section 3503.26, 13 in part, provides that the Board of Elections shall 14 maintain and make available for public inspection and 15 copying at a reasonable cost all records concerning the implementation, et cetera, of what it is that's 16 all election records. 17 18 Ohio Revised Code Title 35 Section 3599.161 19 provides that no director or deputy director of a 20 Board of Elections shall knowingly prevent or prohibit 21 any person from inspecting under regulations blah, 22 blah, blah, the public records filed in the office of 23 the Board of Elections. 24 Interestingly, it also provides that if a 25 member of the Board of Election prevents the public

1 access to these records, it's a misdemeanor. Upon 2 conviction of a misdemeanor, they shall, quote, shall be dismissed from office. 3 4 One more. Section 3599.42, prima-facie case 5 of fraud: A violation of any provision of Title 35 -that's the election code of Ohio -- constitutes a 6 prima-facie case of fraud within the purview of such 7 8 title. 9 All right. We found that out Wednesday night. We went to work -- that was Wednesday night, 10 11 December 8th. December 9th, on Thursday, Eve 12 Rokerson, who will speak next, and I went to the 13 Greene County Board of Election. We requested and 14 received election information on the 2004 election. We got the relevant materials. We crunched the 15 numbers that night, reviewed the records that we had 16 17 received and paid for, I might add. 18 There was an average voter turnout for Greene 19 County of almost 77 percent. However, in four 20 precinct, there was suspiciously low turnout: 44 21 percent, 44 percent, 50 and 55 percent. 22 We had asked the director of the Greene 23 County Board of Election which precincts contained the 24 largest minority populations. She told us what they 25 were. Isn't amazing, these number precincts, which

1 were precinct number 354, 355, 275 and 224 were the 2 ones with the lowest turnout. Now, this is -- you have to juxtapose this to get the whole 77 percent 3 4 turnout in those hearings. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Were you 5 6 prevented from auditing the polls on December 8th? 7 MS. QUINN: Yes, we were. And I'll get to that very briefly. 8 9 We requested -- the next day, on Friday, we requested the relevant precinct -- voter precinct 10 11 signature book. That's what the Board of Elections 12 looks at to see who votes and who doesn't vote. We 13 requested them for those four counties. 14 We looked at precinct number 354 first 15 because we were told that it was a precinct where Central State University students live and they were 16 17 mostly African-American. We received those books. We 18 reviewed them. Eve and I divide precinct number 354. 19 It's called the voter precinct signature book. 20 And what we found was extremely interesting. 21 I think this is another method of not allowing people to vote. We had been informed that the students at 22 Central State University had a difficult time 23 24 registering to vote, that when they gave the address 25 1400 Brush Row Road, Wilberforce, Ohio, that was

1 deemed not sufficient, that they had to give the box 2 numbers at which they received mail and that they didn't do this. Well, they had to have done that, 3 4 because in order to appear on the voter precinct 5 register vote book, they had to give a signature. 6 Well, we -- what we did is we just reviewed the voters who is signature was blank. That meant 7 they didn't vote. On not one of them, not one of them 8 9 was their mailbox number given. It was only their 10 generic democracy. 11 During the time that we reviewed the records, 12 the records were removed from our possession by the 13 director of the Board of Election upon order of 14 Secretary of State Blackwell. We were told that, 15 quote, the records were now no longer considered public property and that they were, quote, locked 16 17 down. 18 When I gave the copy -- the director of the 19 Board of Elections copies of the relevant statutes and 20 informed her that we had a right to these records and 21 also informed her that she could be dismissed for 22 taking the records from us, she -- anyway, she called 23 the county prosecutor and he confirmed Blackwell's 24 orders. The books were physically removed from us. 25 Actually, she came and took them right out of the

1 book, right out of Eve's hands. 2 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Who was it that took the books? 3 4 MS. QUINN: This was Carol L. Gorman, the 5 director of the Greene County Board of Election. She personally removed those materials from our hands. We 6 left. 7 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Was that done 8 9 under anyone's direction that you know of? MS. QUINN: We were sitting right in her 10 11 office when she made a telephone call to Secretary of 12 State Blackwell's office. She was speaking to the 13 administrator of elections, Sue Bullock, who informed 14 her that Secretary of State Blackwell had ordered that these, quote, be locked down. And when I quoted 15 those, they are now no longer public record and they 16 17 are now locked down, those are direct quotes we 18 received from the director of the board of public 19 elections, we were sitting in her office when we heard 20 that she had phone call. 21 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. 22 Now, Mrs. Rokerson, this is very important. 23 Did you have anything to add or did you want to 24 corroborate the testimony of Ms. Quinn? 25 MS. ROKERSON: Mr. Chairman and members of

the board, I am Eve Rokerson and I'm also -- I am from
 California, Santa Rosa.

And I just want to tell you that I am a 3 4 retired election official. I conducted elections for 5 15 years. I was a locally elected official. 6 And I came here because I was so concerned about the things that I had seen documented about the 7 problems in Ohio. And I just want to tell you that, 8 9 as an election official, it's not rocket science. You 10 know that you have a certain number of voters. You 11 know you have a certain number of need for machines 12 and for places for them to go and to park and to get 13 to an election. I mean, this is not rocket science. 14 How are we making this so complicated for us? You 15 know you need a certain number of machines and you definitely know that you need balance and you 16 17 definitely know that you need honest and open. 18 And I would just like to say that there was 19 also a serious breach of the electronic brevity of votes. And on Saturday morning, we both went to the 20 21 Board of Elections and walked in. The door was 22 unlocked on a Saturday morning. There was no one 23 there. We saw -- I saw that there were ballots there 24 and they were looked to me like they were in locked 25 boxes. There was no one around, so we did go out. We

1 were shocked. We videotaped it. We have the 2 videotapes for your review. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. 3 MS. ROKERSON: And that is what I would like 4 to add. I would also like to add that I believe that 5 6 what we need is we need to have a full and open recount of the ballots or a new election, because 7 three percent of the votes count that we're proposing 8 9 is not enough. 10 And just in conclusion, I would like to say 11 that we do have for you our affidavits under penalty 12 of perjury to all of the things that we have 13 presented. They are also on our web site. 14 We've only been here a few days, but we've been working very hard because we believe firmly in 15 democracy and the right of the people to vote. 16 17 Thank you. 18 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you very 19 much. Maxine, help us out here? 20 21 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: That's right. I 22 am very, very pleased that they have taken time from 23 their lives on their own to travel here from Santa 24 Rosa and from Sacramento with the kind of background 25 and expertise that they bring to us to give testimony

1 here today. I'm extremely impressed and I thank you 2 very much. 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: I have two 4 questions to ask the two of you if I may, very 5 quickly. Number one, when they took that material out 6 of your hands, what do you think they were trying to 7 hide? MS. ROKERSON: That's a good question and I 8 9 don't -- it seems to me that we need to ask them that, what are they trying to hide. It looks to me like 10 11 what we have seen is so much secrecy and so many lies 12 that we really, really do doubt their integrity. 13 MS. QUINN: I can tell what you they're 14 trying to hide. I believe they are trying to hide the 15 particular voting mailboxes in addition to the address 1400 Brush Row Road where, necessary in order to 16 17 register to vote, they had to provide their mailbox 18 numbers. That was necessary for them to be registered 19 to vote. However, on those voting precinct roll books, no box numbers existed. Therefore, if a 20 21 student or voter attempted to vote, they would be 22 rejected from voting because they had an insufficient address. I'd say 99.9 percent of the addresses of the 23 24 voters that I saw that were allegedly did not vote 25 just contained this generic 1400 Brush Row Road

1 address, no box numbers. 2 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: These were 3 students, primarily? 4 MS. QUINN: We were told these were minority 5 students. 6 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Thank you very 7 much. The second --8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When is the black --U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: My second 9 question is, since you were election administrators 10 11 and are experienced and you spent time examining 12 procedures and the failures to testify here, do you 13 believe that there is any reasonable mathematical 14 chance that the failures, the disenfranchisement, everything that you've observed and heard here could 15 have been sufficient to affect the apparent margin of 16 17 victory --18 MS. QUINN: Absolutely not. 19 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Absolutely not, 20 you say? 21 MS. QUINN: Oh, it wasn't fair. I was with Election Protection in Reno. That the chaos had to be 22 planned on the web site of the registrar voters of 23 24 Washington County in Reno. There was the official web 25 site. There was wrong precinct addresses so the

1 voters were going everywhere on the official web site 2 in the registrar of voters in Washington County in Reno. This is more of the same. 3 4 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Reno, Nevada, 5 you say? 6 MS. QUINN: Reno, Nevada, yes. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you very 7 much. Your testimony is very important because you're 8 9 eyewitness and experienced election people. So we 10 thank you again. 11 MS. QUINN: Remember, we've got the video, 12 Eve and another person. We have got audio video 13 walking through the empty Board of Elections. The 14 election materials, every was there, that the recount 15 cannot be valid because they were unsecured. They're tainted. 16 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: You need to see 17 18 Ted Kalow, our counsel. 19 And I recognize State Senator Ray Miller. SENATOR MILLER: If the witnesses would come 20 21 back very quickly, just very quickly, I have one 22 question to follow up on the congressman's question that was asked. The question that wasn't directly 23 24 responded to was, based on your experience, with all 25 of the irregularities that you have had a chance to

1 observe here in our state, do you believe that it 2 would be sufficient to overturn the election? President Bush won the state of Ohio by 118,000 plus 3 4 votes. So based on what you've seen, could you 5 project or do you believe that sufficient votes would exist to overturn the election? 6 MS. QUINN: I think there's a clear inference 7 that it should overturn -- let me just say briefly 8 9 that out of the precinct 354 that we looked at, 66 percent were alleged not to have voted. Well, the 10 11 ones that did vote, 95 percent voted for Kerry and 5 12 percent voted for Bush. The inference is clear. 13 Multiply that. 14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you very 15 much. Reverend William Moss is here. Please. 16 17 Thank you. He is a Columbus community leader, and we 18 can always count on Reverend Moss. 19 REVEREND MOSS: Thank you very much, 20 Mr. Chairman. And thank you to the members of this 21 committee and of the Congress who have joined us here, 22 and a special thanks to our local officials for 23 accommodating these hearings. And a special thanks, 24 also, to Congresswoman Sheila Jackson Lee, who spoke 25 to our gathering here about nine days ago. And a

special thanks to our attorneys, to Reverend Jackson for your participation and your support and to all the members of the Columbus community who have gathered here to stand up with us, and even also to the people who have come in from outside the city, we appreciate you very, very much.

And I just want to say for the record, my 7 wife and I have agreed and signed on to the complaint 8 9 as lead players against the Bush/Cheney/Blackwell jargonot that allegedly delivered the presidential 10 11 election to George W. Bush and Mr. Cheney. And the 12 reason my wife and I made the decision to do this, 13 aside from the fact that we observed firsthand and 14 experienced the voter suppression that has already 15 been testified to, we observed the voter intimidation. We observed, Mr. Chairman, challengers being allowed 16 17 to come into the community and intimidate voters in 18 our area and numbers of police cars stationed outside 19 the polling places. And this was unprecedented. We 20 had never seen this take place before. And I can only 21 assume that they were there to protect the 22 intimidators. 23 So my wife and I agreed to become lead

24 players, not only for those reasons, but because we25 believe that what is at stake here is our liberty in

1 this country, our freedom and this very democracy.
2 The conduct, the conduct of the Secretary of
3 State who blatantly and brazenly violated conflicts of
4 interest laws by allowing themselves to act both as
5 chief elections officer for the state and also cochair
6 of the Bush/Cheney campaign, what we witnessed was
7 clearly voter fraud.

8 And the reason we are standing up is because 9 such activity of the Bush/Cheney/Blackwell jargonot, as we observed it, is un-American and unacceptable. 10 11 And I want to say to the people here and to 12 our supporters and to our attorneys and to this panel 13 and to these officials, you are brave and we 14 appreciate you. And this work that you are doing, 15 this work that you are doing is for, whether we know it or not and whether we admit it at this juncture or 16 17 not, what we are doing here is standing up for all the 18 people of America. 19 And we were reminded at your hearings last 20 week, Mr. Chairman, by one of our -- one of our 21 witnesses who spoke here today, we were reminded of 22 the words of Muhammad Abdi Gandi and they're worth 23 repeating here today. First, they ignore us. Then

24 they laugh at us. Then they fight us. And then we
25 win.

1 These brave and committed Congress people, 2 these attorneys, Reverend Jackson and our supporters have brought us to the point that they are no longer 3 4 ignoring us. The lawsuit that we filed today is clear evidence that nothing is funny, so they're not 5 6 laughing, they're already fighting us and we will win. Thank you. 7 8 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you very 9 much. 10 REVEREND MOSS: Thank you. Thank you. 11 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Some evidence 12 of that, Reverend Moss, is that the attorney for Kerry 13 and Edwards, Attorney Daniel Hoffmeyer, is here at 14 this hearing, and we have not yet recognized his 15 presence. 16 Cofounder of the CASE Ohio -- CASE Ohio 17 organization attorney Susan Truitt, welcome to the 18 hearing. 19 MS. TRUITT: Thank you, Congressman Conyers, members of the committee. Welcome to the Ukraine. 20 21 This is truly a historic day in the growing movement 22 toward establishing a free, fair and transparent 23 election system in the United States. 24 The Green party and Libertarian party have 25 started their recount of Ohio today. You are here

1 continuing the investigation of the Ohio count. 2 Today, Cliff Arnebeck, Bob Fitrakis, Peter Sakouski (phonetic) and I filed a contest to the election suit 3 4 in the Ohio Supreme Court to contest the election 5 results of Ohio based on good faith information that the tallies in Ohio are wrong. 6 The rally will be held at the Ohio Statehouse 7 today to protest the illegal convening meeting of the 8 9 electoral college today when the votes have not been recounted, when the vote tally in Ohio is so insecure 10 11 and so challenged, when there was suppression of the 12 vote of the African-American community, when they were 13 denied of machines, when thousands of people who 14 wanted to vote could not. We know how Blackwell pulled it off. He 15 wrote an article in the "Washington Times" a few days 16 after the election entitled, "How Ohio Pulled It Off." 17 18 How Ohio pulled it off. What a Freudian title, 19 Mr. Blackwell. We know how you pulled it off, 20 Mr. Blackwell, by step by step registration, 21 provisional balloting issues, challengers in the polling places, election day fiascoes. We know how 22 you pulled it off, Mr. Blackwell. It's in the 23 24 tabulators. 25 Every county in Ohio has tabulators, whether

1 they're punch card, DRE, or optical scan. And you are 2 quoted, Mr. Blackwell in a paper about a week ago as saying that the Ohio election could not have been 3 4 stolen because it would have taken the complaisancy of 5 hundreds of bipartisan Board of Elections. 6 But you know that's not true, Mr. Blackwell. 7 All it takes is a few unscrupulous election companies, and there are many. And all it takes is an 8 9 unscrupulous software engineer or two and you can 10 steal an election. 11 Over 70 percent of Ohio counties have ESS or 12 Triad tabulators. The histories of these companies 13 are well documented on Bev Harris' web site 14 www.blackboxvoting.org. What are you trying to hide, Mr. Blackwell? 15 Why are you taking poll books away from people looking 16 at your public records? Why are you shutting down 17 18 Boards of Elections offices, Mr. Blackwell? What is 19 it that you are trying to hide? Why are Triad 20 employees going to Boards of Election and taking the 21 machines apart before the recount, before the contest. 22 The government has a right to tax us. The 23 government has a right to draft us. The government 24 has the right to give tax breaks to companies that 25 send jobs overseas. And the government has an

1 obligation, yes, a duty, to listen to us. And the way 2 they listen to us is through our vote. And if our votes aren't being heard, then we have lost our 3 4 democracy. And this is nothing short of an attack on 5 our democracy. We must recount Ohio. We must contest 6 Ohio. And maybe we should revote Ohio. Thank you. 7 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE CONYERS: Thank you. 8 9 Councilwoman Tavares, ladies and gentlemen, on behalf of our committee, we want to thank you so 10 11 much, but we are going to have a commentary from 12 citizens who have come here and who have not had an 13 opportunity to testify, and I wanted to invite 14 councilwoman Charleta Tavares to conduct this 15 portion -- come on up here. But in the meantime, on behalf of all of our 16 17 members of Congress, our distinguished witnesses and 18 others that have worked with us, this is not over. 19 This is the second hearing. This is not the last 20 hearing. 21 We have the -- theories on this subject could 22 be conducted without coming to Columbus, Ohio. The 23 record will be open for additional testimony and 24 witnesses. Our staff will be meeting with you. And 25 there are more than a dozen people who will be

1 continuing to join Congressman Nadler, Congresswoman 2 Waters, Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones and many others, oh, Congressman Strickland. We're going to 3 4 have the councilman testify, too, Congresswoman. 5 And so on behalf of all of us, we want to thank you. 6 7 Let's give our stenographer, who has been 8 working without a one minute break some applause. 9 We're now have a permanent record of every word that was uttered here today and we're going to go through 10 11 it with great scrutiny with our lawyers and our staff, 12 our inside counsel and our outside counsel, 13 Dr. Jackson and the great Rainbow/PUSH organization, 14 the NAACP and many others here in Ohio. Ohio, keep organizing. Ohio, keep coming 15 forward. Ohio, keep documenting the records because 16 17 there are many hundreds, maybe thousands of instances 18 that we have yet hear about. And the one way we want 19 to make sure that this will not be dismissed or put 20 down or laughed at is that we have on top of the 21 thousands of complaints and documents that have come 22 in. We're going to continue to add to them so that 23 more and more people around America will gain hope 24 that Ohio will not just throw away its rightful vote 25 and end up with someone in the White House who may not

1 have -- shouldn't have been there in the first place. 2 So that's it. 3 I thank you and turn this over to 4 councilwoman Tavares. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Give by partisan 6 support. 7 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: First of all, I'd like to thank Congressman Conyers and certainly all of the 8 9 panel, Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones and Congresswoman Maxine Waters and Congressman Jerrold 10 11 Nadler. Thank you very much for being here. 12 I want to give an opportunity to first of 13 all, our state Senator Ray Miller to share some 14 comments, and then I'm going to ask that we go to our audience that has gathered here today who has, you 15 know, certainly listened and participated in this 16 17 hearing, but we also want to make sure that we give 18 them an opportunity to share some of their thoughts 19 and their witnessing of what went wrong in the 20 election on this year. 21 Senator Miller. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Madam Chair. If 22 it pleases the chair, I'd be willing to wait until the 23 24 citizens have had the opportunity to offer their 25 comments, and then I'll offer some brief comments at

```
1
     the end.
              COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you. Now I'd
 2
 3
     like to ask my colleague, Councilman Boyce, would you
 4
      also --
 5
             COUNCILMAN BOYCE: Yes, thank you.
 6
              MR. ARNEBECK: Madam Chairman, could I make a
7
      request?
8
              COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Mr. Arnebeck.
9
              MR. ARNEBECK: We have an important witness
10
      that I would like to make available to the press while
11
      they're still here, if we could. I would like to have
12
     him sworn in, I would like for him to be available
13
      after the hearing, after the public hearing to be
14
      available for cross-examination by the press core, if
15
      I might engage in a colloquy with this witness.
              COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: And the witness being?
16
              MR. ARNEBECK: Clint Curtis.
17
18
              COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Mr. Curtis, if you
19
      would come forward.
             MR. ARNEBECK: I would ask the court reporter
20
21
      to swear the witness.
22
                              _ _ _
23
                         CLINTON CURTIS
24
     being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter
25
      certified, deposes and says as follows:
```

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. ARNEBECK: 3 Q. Mr. Curtis, would you please state your full 4 name for the record. 5 A. Name is Clinton Eugene Curtis. 6 Q. And where do you reside? A. Tallahassee, Florida. 7 Q. And what is your profession? 8 9 A. I am a computer programmer. Q. Would you please speak into the microphone so 10 11 the audience can hear your testimony. 12 A. I am a computer programmer. 13 Q. Mr. Curtis, are there programs that can be 14 secretly used to fix elections? A. Yes. 15 Q. How do you know that to be the case? 16 A. Because in October of 2000, I wrote a 17 18 prototype for present Congressman Tom Feeney at the 19 company I worked for in Florida that did just that. 20 Q. And when you say did just that, it would rig an election? 21 A. It would flip the vote 51/49 to whoever you 22 wanted it to go to and whichever race you wanted it to 23 24 win. 25 Q. And would that program that you designed be

1 something that elections officials that might be on 2 county boards of elections could detect? A. They'd never see it. 3 4 Q. Mr. Curtis --5 REVEREND JACKSON: Ask that question again. Q. Would you answer that question once again. 6 A. They would never see it. 7 Q. So how would such a program, a secret program 8 9 that fixes the election, how could it be detected? A. You would have to view it either in the 10 11 source code or you would have to have a receipt and 12 then count the hard paper against the actual vote 13 total. Other than that, you won't see it. 14 Q. All right. Mr. Curtis, if you had been asked, you or others with your professional expertise 15 had been asked to design and protect a program that 16 17 would protect the Ohio elections against such software 18 to fix the election, could you have done so? 19 A. If we had been asked to make a program that would fix the election? Sure, anybody could. 20 21 Q. No. Could you have designed a program or a 22 procedure or a protocol that would have protected Ohio 23 against this kind of rigging? 24 A. No. You have to look at the source code. 25 You have to get probably programmers from both or all

1 parties to look at the source code and determine if 2 there's something in there that shouldn't be there. I mean, it's a simple program. You're adding one to a 3 4 person's total. It's a hundred lines of code, tops. 5 Q. All right. Are you aware of whether there was any protective action in Ohio against this kind 6 7 of, quote, rigging through software? A. I don't know. 8 9 Q. You don't know? A. I don't know. 10 11 Q. You were not asked to assist in that 12 development of any protective system; is that correct? 13 A. No, I was not. 14 Q. In your -- have you reviewed at all the election results in Ohio? 15 A. No, I haven't. 16 Q. Okay. Given the availability of such vote 17 18 rigging software and the testimony that has been given 19 under oath of substantial statistical anomalies and 20 gross differences between exit polling data and the 21 actual tabulated results, do you have an opinion 22 whether or not Ohio election -- the Ohio election, presidential election was hacked? 23 24 A. Yes, I would say it was. I mean, if you have 25 exit polling data that is significantly off from the

1 vote, then it's probably hacked. 2 Q. And your testimony is under oath? 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 Q. And the testimony you've given is true? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 Q. Thank you. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Congresswoman 7 8 Stephanie Tubbs-Jones. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: 9 10 Congresswoman Waters and I have the same question. 11 Mr. Curtis, can you come back to the podium, please. 12 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Mr. Curtis, please 13 come back. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Who did you 14 say you were asked to prepare? 15 MR. CURTIS: I was asked by Tom Feeney. He's 16 now a Congressman. At that time, he was speaker of 17 18 the House in Florida, Yang Enterprises who to work for 19 lobbyists, and their corporate attorney. He wore a lot of hats. 20 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: And at the 21 22 time, he was the speaker of the House of Florida, is 23 that what you're saying? 24 MR. CURTIS: Yes. 25 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Thank you.

1 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Congressman. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Did you say he 2 was the lobbyist to the voting machine company at the 3 4 same time he was the speaker of the House? 5 MR. CURTIS: I don't know what the voting 6 machine company is. He was a lobbyist for Yang 7 Enterprises. We had NASA contracts and --U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Yang Enterprises 8 9 is what, computers? 10 MR. CURTIS: Computers. 11 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Okay. And he 12 was your lobbyist, the lobbyist for that company? 13 MR. CURTIS: Yes. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: And he asked you 14 15 to design a -- to design code to rig an election? 16 MR. CURTIS: Yes. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: While he was the 17 18 speaker of the Florida House? 19 MR. CURTIS: Yes. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: This is during 20 21 or previous to the 2000 election? 22 MR. CURTIS: Yes, October, end of 23 September. 24 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: And did he ever 25 say and why he wanted the code to rig an election?

1 MR. CURTIS: No. I immediately assumed that 2 they were trying to keep you guys from cheating on them. So I wrote up the documentation of what you 3 4 would look for in the source code, how you would make 5 sure that you didn't get taken advantage of, make sure 6 that all voting machines had receipts and that way you could back count the ones that looked funny, and I 7 handed in --8 9 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: By receipts, you mean the paper trail? 10 11 MR. CURTIS: Yes, yes, paper trail. And I 12 handed that in to Mrs. Yang and said, here's a report, 13 here's your program. And she said, you don't 14 understand, we need to hide the fraud in the source 15 code. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Hide the fraud, 16 not reveal the fraud? 17 18 MR. CURTIS: Not reveal the fraud, because 19 it's needed to control the vote in south Florida was what she said. 20 21 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: That's what she said? 22 MR. CURTIS: That's what she said. 23 24 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: To your 25 knowledge, was this used?

1 MR. CURTIS: I have no idea. I was ready to 2 leave, so -- I was tired and left the company. 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: But your 4 testimony a moment ago, I think you said just before 5 you left in response to Stephanie Tubbs-Jones question 6 that -- would you just repeat what you said in terms of the exit polls. 7 MR. CURTIS: Oh, the exit polls should not be 8 9 significantly different than the vote. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: And if they 10 11 were, you would conclude what? 12 MR. CURTIS: I would conclude someone is 13 playing with the vote. 14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Not with the exit polls? 15 MR. CURTIS: That's possible, too. Something 16 17 is definitely skewed. 18 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Something is 19 definitely skewed in one or the other? MR. CURTIS: Right. To select which one, 20 21 you'd have to see where the problem is. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Let me ask you 22 23 one further question. Assuming for the moment that 24 such software -- that's what called it, such software 25 to rig a vote was used in one or more machines in Ohio

1 or in Florida, could you, today, detect that if you' 2 looked at the source code? MR. CURTIS: If you get the machines and they 3 4 have not been patched yet -- once they get in and 5 touch them, anything can happen. You could also set 6 timers to do that, but then you'd see the timers. Then you'd have to take those machines, decompile 7 them, which I couldn't do, but possibly Microsoft, an 8 9 MIT something could do, you might, you might be able 10 to see it. 11 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: You might? 12 MR. CURTIS: It depends on how good they are 13 at destroying what they had. 14 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Destroying what they had by tampering with the machine afterwards or 15 by programming them to destroy instructions in the 16 17 first place? 18 MR. CURTIS: Right. 19 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Either or both? MR. CURTIS: Either or both. You didn't 20 21 actually see what's in there, so you don't know if the 22 code is running as a single executable or running in various modules. If it's running in modules, you can 23 24 make the code actually eat itself. 25 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Let me ask you

1 one further question. I have been told that people 2 who assume that lots of the election results, that a large fraction of the election result within the state 3 4 may have been affected by deliberate fraud in the 5 computer are paranoid because, in order to do that, 6 you'd have to have access to thousands of machines and then that would be readily detectable. To what extent 7 is that true? 8 9 MR. CURTIS: It depends on the technology used. If you did a central tabulation machine that 10 11 fed in, all you'd have to do is set a flag. You set a 12 flag, a central tabulation machine would then flip 13 your vote. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: So one person 14 putting in bad code in a central tabulation machine 15 could affect thousands and thousands and tens of 16 thousands of votes? 17 18 MR. CURTIS: Right. And you could activate 19 it either automatically or you could make it so 20 there's code existing on an obtomic machine that would 21 feed it, where you would punch it in, it would see the 22 flag, the server would see the flag and then --23 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: And if you had a 24 recount and there was no data trail, would that be --25 assuming that that had happened, would that be
```
1
      reversible by seeing the discrepancy between the
 2
      tabulator, the central tabulator showed and what the
      individual machines which had not been tampered with
 3
 4
      showed?
              MR. CURTIS: Not if I wrote it.
 5
 6
              U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Why not?
              MR. CURTIS: I would make it match.
 7
              U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: You could work
 8
9
     back to tabulate the individual machines so that the
10
      tabulator could tell the machines to switch their
11
      results?
12
             MR. CURTIS: Yes. It talks both ways. You
13
      can flip it to whatever you need.
14
              U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: And they
      actually do talk to each other?
15
              MR. CURTIS: Yes. As long as they're hooked
16
17
      up and networked together, they could copy each
18
      other.
              U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: So in other
19
20
      words, there's absolutely no assurance whatsoever on
21
      anything with regard to these machines?
              MR. CURTIS: Absolutely none unless you look
22
23
     at the source code and make sure it's safe before it
24
     goes in.
25
              U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Thank you very
```

1 much.

2	COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Congressman
3	Nadler. I know that Congresswoman Waters has a
4	question and then Senator Miller and then
5	Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones.
6	U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: This will only
7	take a moment. If you would, come back to the
8	podium.
9	MR. CURTIS: I'm new at this.
10	U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: As you know,
11	there has been a lot of discussion about I think it
12	was Diebold company and their relationship to the
13	president and the administration and supposedly
14	comments about helping to ensure that the president
15	was reelected. In your world, in your environment,
16	have you heard any of these kind of discussions? Do
17	you know people from Diebold? Do you have any sense
18	of any actions that may have been taken?
19	MR. CURTIS: I don't know anything about that
20	at all.
21	U.S. REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Thank you.
22	MR. CURTIS: I'm sorry.
23	COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Senator Miller.
24	SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, Madam Chair.
25	Sir, I suspect people will attack you in

1 terms of your credibility. Could you restate, once 2 again, your credentials. MR. CURTIS: I am a programmer. I worked for 3 NASA. I worked for Exxon/Mobil. I worked for the 4 5 Florida Department of Transportation. 6 And other elements of my story -- because 7 this company -- well, let's get into it. Why not. This company also, they have a NASA contract. And 8 9 they were basically downloading tons of information, I mean gigabytes worth, and handing them off to this 10 11 little Chinese guy named Henry Nee. It didn't seem 12 right and, you know, he was hacking things. And I 13 wrote a program for DOT that allowed contractors to 14 send their information into DOT, and he was kind of 15 the quality assurance guy for software. He put a wire tapping module in the program that went out to the 16 contractors so that it actually sent everything they 17 18 sent back to Yang. So I reported all this. And just 19 last March, I think, he was arrested for attempting to 20 send an anti-tank missile chips to the capital of 21 Communist China. So if that's correct, this is such a 22 small thing. Of course, I think he only got a \$100 23 fine and no time. 24 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE NADLER: Thank you. 25 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you.

1 Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: No more 2 3 questions. Thank you. 4 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you. We are now 5 going to go back to the public testimony, and I have a request from State Representative Joyce Beatty. 6 7 STATE REPRESENTATIVE BEATTY: Thank you so much, Madam Chair, for your leadership, to our 8 9 Congress folks here, and especially to who I finally call my Congresswoman, Stephanie Tubbs-Jones, 10 11 Congresswoman Maxine Waters, to Senator Ray Miller and 12 others here. I'm an assistant minority leader in the 13 Ohio House of Representatives, but today I'm here as a 14 concerned citizen, a lawyer and a representative of 15 the Beatty family, long time community advocates. And also I'd like to say for all of those who 16 17 are there in the audience, if we don't get to 18 everyone, let me just briefly share some of the 19 concerns that I am sure many citizens here and not present are concerned about. And that is certainly 20 21 efforts to express or restrict our vote or our voting 22 process. 23 This past election will certainly be recorded 24 in history as a day of great concern, a day of 25 suppression. We will remember the long lines

1 resulting in three to four or six to nine hours to cast a vote. We will remember the senior citizens and 2 3 the disabled who stood in the rain to dramatic 4 increase of first time voters. We will remember the 5 provisional ballots, the challengers, and we will remember the number of people who could not wait in 6 line, those who were confused, those who were 7 frustrated, those who were excited by mainstream media 8 9 and political sabotage. 10 I know. I stood in line for three hours and 11 18 minutes to vote. I know, as a person who has voted 12 in the same spot for more than a decade. I received a 13 provisional ballot challenging my vote. My husband, a 14 former legislature, a prominent attorney who has lived in this community for 60 years, voted every election 15

16 from the time he was able, was challenged by his vote 17 and almost thrown out of the place we were voting 18 because he would not answer the questions of the 19 challenger. Tell me that we were not intimidated. 20 Clearly, we had a failed system and a system 21 failure, system failure that includes black 22 communities being disproportionately targeted by 23 having fewer voting machines; disproportionate number 24 of provisional ballots, more of those who were

25 African-American or poor or more likely to vote for

1 Kerry; blatant problems with voting machines. 2 Let me just give you one example. We've heard a lot of statistics, so I don't want to repeat 3 4 that. But in Gahanna, the neighboring precinct to my 5 district, it was reported that 4,000 votes were --6 that more than 4,000 votes were for Bush. Explain that to me when only 638 people voted. We were told 7 8 that it was a voter glitch. 9 I resolve today. Thank you for the testimony. Thank you, Reverend Jesse Jackson. 10 11 Let me just briefly say, we have a very 12 strong Ohio Legislative Black Caucus. We are in 13 support of your efforts and they thank you. 14 But today, I have some resolve. There is a 15 House bill. Because as a legislator, part of our world is to introduce legislation. House Bill 336, of 16 which I am the cosponsor, this legislation can make a 17 18 difference. The legislation qualifies any elector to 19 vote by absent voter's ballot. 20 If we really ant to affect a change, this is 21 one piece of legislation that we would like to ask 22 you, Mr. Moss, to also include that we can make the 23 change that more people will also be able to vote. We 24 also are willing to be out in front of getting more 25 voting machines.

1 I had the privilege to serve on the Help 2 America Vote Act. And when I heard the last gentleman, Senator Miller, testify, it brings cause to 3 4 us to know that one of the reasons we did not have 5 uniformity in the voting machines was because we asked 6 for that paper audit, a verifiable audit trail, and we were denied. It was not accepted, through the 7 Secretary of State's office, that we could put in our 8 9 machines in time for this election to have that audit trail. Because if you had that verifiable audit trail 10 11 and someone manipulated the computer system, you would 12 now how you voted and they would have a copy of that. 13 In this state, we were denied that right as members of 14 HAVA. 15 I know there are many more who are going to come and testify, so I will close my testimony by 16 17 saying, it is not where you stand in a time of comfort 18 and convenience, but the stance you take during times 19 of controversy and challenges. We are certainly 20 challenged today, and I pledge to you on behalf of the 21 140,000 people in my direct, mostly African-American, 22 those who have been disenfranchised, I stand with you 23 because I stand with them. 24 Thank you. 25 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Ladies and gentlemen,

1 I just want to caution all of you, we have until 2:00, 2 because we have council meeting tonight and we have to prepare the chambers for council. And my colleague 3 4 and I, Councilman Boyce, have a meeting. So we're 5 going to have to conclude at 2:00. So I'm going to 6 caution you about speaking for a brief three minutes. Otherwise, we're not going to get many people in to 7 testify. 8

9 So my legislative aide, Bo Shilton, has a timer. Congresswoman Tubbs-Jones -- I'm many okay. 10 11 I'm sorry. My legislative aide, Bow Shilton, has a 12 times and we are going to keep you to those three 13 minutes because we want to get as many people in as 14 possible. 15 We're going to start with he -- I believe it's Eleanor LeCain. Following her will be Damia 16 Sheppard. Following Damia will be Marlene 17 18 Featherstone. 19 MS. LECAIN: Thank you, madam chair. 20 My name is Eleanor LeCain and I'm a former 21 assistant Secretary of State in Massachusetts And an 22 election official there and I'm currently the founder 23 of a group called Audit The Vote, a national network

of computer experts and community leaders who are very concerned about the irregularities and the problems in 1 the vote around the country.

2 We respect the sanctity our vote. Our intention is to ensure that the express will of the 3 4 people is heard and followed. We are concerned about 5 having over 400,000 citizens who have called into 6 nonpartisan monitoring lines registering voting 7 problems on election day. 8 In order to fix the problems, we need to get 9 under the hood and identify what the problems are. To this end, Audit the Vote supports investigations of 10 11 the election, including ballot recounts, public 12 records searches and data analysis. 13 We must find and fix the problems in our 14 voting system. Our democracy depends on it. 15 There are currently recounts underway in both Ohio and New Mexico. Audit the Vote has two 16 independent groups analyzing the voting data in both 17 18 Ohio and New Mexico. The preliminary findings suggest 19 in New Mexico a pattern of fraud. We will be 20 releasing the results of our analysis soon. 21 Meanwhile, the electors are meeting in Ohio 22 and every other state today just on the same day the 23 recounts are beginning in both Ohio and New Mexico. 24 As a previous speaker said, the recounts should be 25 completed before the electors are seated.

1 In addition, there are a number of questions 2 for Congress and citizens to ask. How can we check the results of elections in states where there is no 3 4 paper trail? Why would we allow partisan politicians 5 to oversee elections in which they have a vested 6 interest? Why do we allow private companies who produce voting machines to block public officials from 7 8 seeing the voting software? Why do we rely on voting 9 equipment provided by private companies whose executives actively support candidates on the ballot, 10 11 such as Mr. O'Dell, the chairman and COE of Diebold 12 Corporation, a major campaign supporter and donor of 13 Bush who wrote that he was, quote, committed to 14 helping Ohio deliver its votes to the president? And 15 why do American politicians use exit polls as proof that the elections in the Ukraine were wrong, but tell 16 us to ignore the exit polls in the United States? 17 18 This election is not over until all the votes are 19 counted accurately. 20 As president Ronald Regan said frequently, 21 trust but verify. If we can't verify this vote, then 22 we need another vote that we can verify. 23 Thank you. 24 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Please be up towards 25 the front as I call your name.

1 Following Damia will be Marlene Featherstone, 2 so if you would move, towards the front, give your name and who your representative anyway. 3 4 MS. SHEPPARD: My name is Damia Sheppard and 5 I am here and I'm representing my mother and my aunt, who I took to election for the vote. 6 7 I just want to say I relocated back to Ohio. I'm from Ohio. I lived in California for forty years. 8 9 And I'm glad to see Maxine Waters has been a warrior for us in the House, because the people need some 10 11 strong leaders and they need some strong warriors, 12 because they're being beat down. And I'm happy to be 13 here in Columbus among people like Gayle Moss, Don 14 Trace, the various charities. 15 But anyway, what I want to say is I come from a family that has always voted and we've taken it 16 17 seriously. And I've come from ancestors that have 18 given their lives. 19 So on election day, I took my mother, who is 20 70-some years old, and my aunt to the voting polls. 21 We had to wait in line an hour and a half. It was in 22 a small room where they had three booths. And my 23 mother has emphysema, unfortunately. They had chairs 24 and tables in the room already, but my mother had to 25 keep drag the chairs while we waited. They asked for

ID and there was a worker who kept calling the polling number -- there was only one polling number -- when different things came up. It was hot in there. There was just three booths.

5 And they should have been prepared. They 6 knew how many people had registered. I guess they didn't think we were coming to vote, but we did. And 7 I saw a lot of people who left who were intimidated. 8 9 They had to get back to work. They felt threatened. 10 And another thing, one of the things I was 11 concerned about in this voting booth, why was there a 12 blinking line, and blinking flash under George Bush's 13 name when I went into my booth. 14 And I think it was a little intimidating, especially for new people, the young people who were 15 just voting for the first time, and I just want to 16 17 give kudos to them because they came out, even though 18 they were skeptical, they didn't believe in the 19 system. 20 But I'm here to tell them that, like 21 Dr. Martin Luther King said about the difficult days 22 ahead, and I think he said deal with it. But like 23 Pastor Reener (phonetic) said, ain't nobody gonna turn 24 us around. 25 And thank you. Thank you for coming.

1 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you. 2 Ms. Sheppard, there's a question from one of our panelist, Councilman Boyce. 3 4 COUNCILMAN BOYCE: I'm just curious, what was 5 the voting location you're referring to? 6 MS. SHEPPARD: At the elementary school on 7 Mock, Mock Road. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Mock Road Elementary 8 9 school? 10 MS. SHEPPARD: Yes. 11 COUNCILMAN BOYCE: Is that the name of it? 12 MS. SHEPPARD: It's on Mock Road. I can't 13 think of the name of it right off. 14 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Arlington Park. 15 MS. SHEPPARD: Thank you. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Marlene Featherstone. 16 17 Give your name and if you're representing 18 anyone other than yourself. 19 MS. FEATHERSTONE: Good afternoon. My name 20 is Marlene Featherstone and I'm here because I'm a 21 concerned citizen. I picked up the Saturday paper and 22 I looked on there and I seen where they said they had 39 machines, voting machines. And it also said that 23 24 they asked the poll workers did they need any machines 25 and they told them that they was all right, they could make it with what they had. I'm here to say that's a
 lie.

3 I am a presiding judge and I worked at 4 Precinct 4A. And we usually have four machines there. 5 This time we go in there and we only had three. I called them up and asked them why we only had three 6 machines because we usually had four and could they at 7 least bring me the one. The one lady I first called, 8 9 she told me, we going to try and think about getting you a machine. I called back later on and she said, 10 11 Marlene, they're not going to get you no machine. 12 So I looked in the book and I got the one 13 where it said supplies and machine trouble. So I 14 called them and I told them that I needed the machine, 15 that we usually have four and we only have three and could you bring me a machine. They said, well, we'll 16 17 try and get you one.

18 Well, I called back again later on because 19 people had been in line three or four hours and people was leaving, so I called back. And they told me, they 20 21 said, we're trying to get you a machine. I said, 22 well, don't get me one, get me two. So the man called 23 me and told me, said, we're not going to get you no 24 machine. We're not going to do anything. We can't 25 get you none and you just do the best you can with

1 what you got. And I said, well, that's just what 2 we'll do, because he was really nasty. So what happened, at 7:30, here come a little 3 4 skinny man. And I heard people out in the hall 5 clapping hands and hollering and applauding. And 6 here's a little skinny man come in and said, I brought you a machine. And I was standing there looking like, 7 where did he get this machine from they ain't had all 8 9 day long? How did their machine -- what are they doing, voting over top somebody else or what. 10 11 I'm like, well, where did the machine come 12 from? They had a machine and they gave it at 7:30 and 13 we didn't get out of there until 10:25. And that's 14 what I wanted to say. 15 They knew all these people had been out worrying to death about registering, that they knew in 16 17 the black neighborhood that these people was coming 18 out to vote. And to me it was like they took that 19 machine away from us because they knew we was going to 20 do it and to intimidate people. 21 And I think it's a shame that this happened 22 because it gave me a different outlook on this. I 23 always wondered who would be in this place when 24 they're going to count the votes. Who is there? 25 Because the workers working the -- at the voting

1 place --2 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: The Board of 3 Election. MS. FEATHERSTONE: -- the Board of Election, 4 5 they don't be there when we close it. It be different 6 people. And when I went to turn my supplies in, all 7 the people was gone. 8 Thank you. 9 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you very much. 10 Ma'am, could you give your name and who 11 you're representing. 12 MS. WIMBERLY: My name is Jacqueline Wimberly 13 and I represent no one but Jesus, if you don't mind. 14 I'm a preacher. But anyway, I represent justice, too. 15 And I was home and I live ten miles outside of Gahanna, and I heard Jesse was in town the other 16 17 day and I didn't get to see him. But I came to give 18 my testimony today because I heard you on the news 19 because I've lived in the same house for 49 years. We 20 were the first blacks to integrate Gahanna, I kid you 21 not. There were 231 people in that town and we were the only blacks. My children were the only blacks in 22 the school, 11 of us, smart ones too, praise God, very 23 24 smart, passed her CPA test the first time around and, 25 a mathematical genius just like her father.

1 So anyhow, praise God. I went to vote. I've 2 also been a presiding judge in Bexley at one time, so I know the procedure in voting. But this time, I went 3 4 to vote and of course we had to stand in line. We 5 stood in line two hours outside, and then we were 6 inside and we stood there two hours. And then when I got up to the -- to the desk where you give your name, 7 8 the lady said -- looked at my name, I gave my name and 9 she said, what do you mean? I don't see you here. She said, you're in Precinct C. 10 11 Now, I have voted in Precinct D since 1956. 12 We are in the same house that we never got a loan for 13 because we were the first blacks there and they 14 weren't going to give nobody a loan that was black out 15 there then, and I know why, because the millionaires that are sitting there now are having a good time. 16 17 Hey, I'm here to tell you. They got all the land 18 except ours, practically. Praise God. 19 I have many more things I'd like to say, but 20 in the future, by the grace of God, I'll let you know 21 my experience living in Jefferson Township for 49 22 years. 23 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, 24 Ms. Wimberly. 25 Harvey Wasserman? Harvey Wasserman? As

1 Harvey Wasserman is coming forth, following Harvey 2 Wasserman is Barry Egney is Juan Val. Following Juan Val is Marilyn Ward. 3 4 Go. MR. WASSERMAN: Okay. I'll do this quick. 5 6 I'm a senior editor of the Freepress.org. We have been putting out these stories before the election. I 7 want to tell you, this is no surprise. Just before 8 9 the election, we put out a story called, "Twelve Ways Bush is Now Stealing the Ohio Vote." It turned out to 10 11 be an underestimate. But there was no surprise there. 12 I am the requester of a series of freedom of 13 information act letters that have been sent to all 88 14 counties in the state of Ohio requesting their records for the election. And I will tell you that the very 15 first letter that we got from Shelby County indicated 16 that they had disposed of crucial election information 17 18 we believe illegally. 19 We got -- I've only gotten five or six letters so far. We got another one from a county 20 21 saying that the couldn't give us the election 22 materials we wanted, but that we should write to the 23 software company that programmed their election 24 machines. So that's the statement. We expect more. 25 In your future investigations, please consult

1 with us on this freedom of information act requests. 2 But I will tell you that the very first one that we got indicated illegalities and the disposal of crucial 3 4 materials for these elections. 5 I will stay finally on a personal note, I've 6 voted at the same precinct in Bexley for 20 years. I applied for an absentee ballot this year. I got a 7 letter in the mail saying I had put down a wrong 8 9 address, but it came to the right address. And it took me four phone calls to finally get an absentee 10 11 ballot in this town. 12 I registered as a Democrat. My wife registered as a Independent. She had no problems 13 14 whatsoever. 15 Thank you so much. We will turn this around. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, 16 17 Mr. Wasserman. 18 Following Barry Egney is Juan Val, Marilyn 19 Marshall Ward and then George T. Chavez. MR. EGNEY: First of all, let us give honor 20 21 to God. I'm thankful that the Congress is here. 22 Maxine Waters, you have been one of my favorites. 23 I've watched you for years doing the south central 24 ballots when they had the rights and they didn't 25 understand why the people was doing it.

1 But what I see now young people being 2 disenFranchised today. And for the first opportunity some of them got a chance to vote, some felons that 3 4 was told that they couldn't voted got a chance to 5 vote. 6 I vote in the precinct on Broad Street 7 Presbyterian Church right at Broad and Garfield. And what I examined there was the fact that, you know, 8 9 in -- let's give a big hand for those elderlies that had been doing those polls for years. Let's give them 10 11 a big hand. You know, and I respect them. And it was 12 tough for them this year because it was a lot of stuff 13 that they wasn't used to, the large crowds. And, you 14 know, what. You know, I just -- like I'm always 15

16 volunteering. I had to help people that didn't know 17 which line to take get in when they was coming in 18 because they was confused because there was a line 19 when you go up there and there was a line at the door. 20 So they just didn't have enough workers to tell people 21 what was going on. 22 One young lady had to leave because she had

23 to take her kids to school. She was in line for over 24 two hours. And it was sad that what happened there 25 happened clear across the state of Ohio. And I just

1 want to thank you all for giving the opportunity to 2 the small people to speak, because we've heard all these people come in town and, you know, we appreciate 3 4 everything you've done, whether you're an organization 5 or politician, but it's time to hear from the citizens 6 of Ohio and American people, and especially from the African-Americans who have been very well 7 disenfranchised from this election. So I just wanted 8 9 to let you know that that's important to us. So I thank all of y'all for staying here and 10 11 listening to the ordinary people, the regular people. 12 My name is Barry Egney. I am an activist in the city 13 of Columbus and I am also a barber. So I work with 14 people all the time and I register for people to vote 15 right at my barber shop. I'm very active and I will be here at city council tonight. 16 17 So I just believe that we have to stay 18 active, we have to stay proactive and we have to keep 19 fighting for this fight. And I just want people to know, don't give up. And there's a lot of young 20 21 people that are discouraged, and I know that we won 22 this election. And no matter what they cheated on the 23 machines, you know that we won this election. 24 So I just want to say that it's very 25 important that when we go to this the next time

1 around, let's not go through what we did in the year 2 2000 and 2004. 3 I want to say that we need a national 4 referendum. If we have an ATM machine that can track 5 down where you've got it, where your receipt is at; if 6 you play the lottery, you played in Ohio, wherever you 7 played, it can tell you where you played at and it 8 will also give you the number. So we need a --9 instead of these long lines, you get one of those tickets like you have in the machine and you would be 10 11 able to have all the candidates and all the issues on 12 that card, the voter registration people send them to 13 us in advance and we take that to the ballot with us 14 with our ID to put that in the machine and then we're 15 out of there. Thank you. 16 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Mr. Egney. 17 18 Juan Val. Following Mr. Val is Marshall Ward then 19 George T. Chavez and then Karen Hampton. 20 MR. VAL: First, let me acknowledge my 21 appreciation this morning to all the Congress members, 22 state senator representatives and city officials, as 23 well.

24 Let me tell you what I went through when I
25 went to my voting area when I went and experienced

1 everything that was taking place at Walnut Ridge 2 Recreation Center. The center was very small, something like this right here, like four voting 3 4 machines on this side and four voting machines on this 5 side. When I arrived at 6:30, it was approximately, 6 I'd say, about 200 people out there. I had my wife and --7 8 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: a.m. or 9 p.m.? MR. VAL: a.m., 6:30 a.m. I had my wife and 10 11 my child with me. And it took about two hours for me 12 to get inside the building. And once I got inside, I 13 realized how small the area was. But if the people 14 that was organizing that area, they could have just put all the voting machines all against the walls, at 15 least 15 or 20 or 30 of them. That way people would 16 17 be able to get in more quicker and more faster. 18 But also I looked at it as to where the 19 responsibility falls on those that didn't do their job, all right. And some way, somehow, we've got to 20 21 find these individuals and hold them accountable for this right here. Because they found -- have no other 22 23 facts of what's going on and what's not taking place 24 and we've got all these people that is in leadership 25 or in positions who continue to allow these things to

1 take place, what does that say for us here in Ohio. 2 And the bottom line is that for those that continue to keep turning back the clock and we don't 3 4 say nothing and we just allow this to continue to take 5 place, then shame on us. 6 So I'm a citizen of Columbus, Ohio. I'm 7 standing right here and saying what I feel was wrong, 8 you know what I'm saying. 9 Maxine Waters, I love you very much. You just don't know how much I idle you, because you come 10 11 through some hard times just like Reverand Jackson 12 comes through some hard times. 13 But many of us coming right now to give our 14 statement has been shut down. Every time we come to office or business office or political office, people 15 have a tendency to want to shut us down and don't want 16 17 to here what, you know, we have to say. 18 I always say that God will give you vision. 19 And when God gives you that vision, you'd better stand 20 on it on his word. 21 And I'm the type of individual, you either 22 believe in him or you don't believe in him. And with that, I just thank everybody that 23 24 came out to support this and continue to fight for 25 this struggle, because it's not over with.

1 Thank you very much. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Mr. Val. 2 Marilyn Marshall Ward, is she here? If she is --3 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I say something? 5 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: No. If it's Marilyn Marshall Ward. Next we're going to hear from George 6 7 Chavez, then Karen Hampton, then Marcia McCoy. 8 George Chavez, is he here. 9 Karen Hampton? She's not here. Marcia McCoy? 10 11 MS. MCCOY: Thank you. Marcia McCoy, 12 Cleveland AFL-CIO. 13 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: I apologize. 14 MS. MCCOY: That's okay. Federation of Labor 15 and the co-covenor of the Greater Cleveland Voter Registration Coalition. And to my wonderful 16 Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs Johns and Congresswoman 17 18 Waters, what I'd like to first say is that back in 19 June of 2001, we received the voters guide that we went by. And we did -- we held one of the largest 20 21 voter registration coalitions along with our 22 Congresswoman Lee back during the election before. 23 And we thought in this wasn't going to happen in Ohio 24 because we were forewarned of what happened in 25 Florida.

1 And on the voter's guide it says, vote with 2 pride. And it gave us things that would take place. It said, vote with pride, not vote set aside. It said 3 4 that it would help to reduce long lines and that 5 election day officials will make sure voters vote in a timely matter. That did not take place. 6 What I'd like to say is that someone called 7 some individuals from the -- and it comes from the 8 9 sheriff's office, telling black males that if you showed up at the polls and you presented 10 11 identification, that -- and you owed back child 12 support, that you may be arrested. 13 We were told by -- we were called by 14 individuals from nursing homes indicating that people 15 from the Board of Election, inspectors, one inspector, Republican inspectors, walked into nursing homes, one 16 17 in particular was Fairview Nursing Home, and asked the 18 people helping them with their absentee ballots, are 19 you a Republican or a Democrat? If they were 20 suffering from dementia, they told the person, the 21 democratic person, that Bush was the democratic 22 nominees and they would fill this information in for 23 them. 24 Upon the registered nurses finding that these 25 individuals were doing that, they asked what their

1 names were. They inspector in particular didn't give 2 their name. They called down to the Board of Election and found out that that individual worked inside of 3 4 that -- you know, worked for the Board of Election, 5 but there was not a democratic person with them on. 6 This voters guide, it says that during 7 elections, election day officials are not permitted to influence voters or to discuss issues or candidates at 8 9 the voting locations, and it also said that two election day officials of opposite political parties 10 11 can assist voters who request help in reading the 12 ballot and/or vote. And this did not take place at 13 that particular place. 14 What I'd also like to say is we questioned our Board of Election and asked why -- okay. In 15 Woodmere Village, there were 498 registered voters; 16 17 however, 18,047 voters cast votes. So we just found 18 this appalling. 19 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Ms. McCoy, if you would, stay at the podium. Senator Miller has a 20 21 question and I think Congresswoman Tubbs-Jones has a 22 question. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, madam chair. I 23 24 don't have a question, but Congressman Tubbs-Jones, go 25 ahead.

1 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Would you 2 repeat that last statement that you made that you rushed through. Would you repeat it, please. 3 4 MS. MCCOY: We asked our county Board of Election officials how is it that there are only 498 5 6 registered voters in that area and why is it that 1,847 people cast votes. We asked that question and 7 8 was given a crazy answer indicating that that was 9 overseas ballots and that there were absentee ballots and things of that nature. But we said, if they're 10 11 not registered, how can they cast votes? 12 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Thank you, 13 Ms. McCoy. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Next I'd like to call 14 15 up Brother Darnell Mohammed. 16 BROTHER MOHAMMED: Thank you. 17 I am representative of a nonpolitical 18 organization. I'm here today as a private citizen. 19 I voted at Koebel Elementary School, which is located in the south end of Columbus. There was 20 21 approximately six voting machines in the school. The 22 lines were extremely long, extending to the outside. 23 I waited approximately two and a half, three hours. 24 And during the course of this, they brought 25 in another machine. They had trouble activating the

1 machine. And when the machine was quote/unquote 2 allegedly activated, that's the machine I got a chance to vote on. After I cast my vote and left and was on 3 4 my way to my vehicle, I was chased down by one of the 5 registrars to come back and vote again because they weren't certain whether the machine took my vote or 6 not. And when I left after the second time, we still 7 were not sure. 8 9 My question is, had I not been Minister Darnell Mohamed, how many other ordinary citizens who 10 11 were not recognized voted on that same machine and did 12 not get a chance to vote at all. 13 Thank you. 14 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Brother 15 Mohamed. Next, Senator Miller wanted to share some 16 comments. We haven't called up the next row of 17 18 witnesses as of yet. So senator Miller, if you can, 19 go ahead, please. SENATOR MILLER: Thank you, madam chair. 20 21 Reverend Jackson -- I wish he was still 22 here -- but pointed out the seriousness -- and I might 23 need, Madam Chair, more than three minutes. I just might need four or five minutes, but I'll talk as 24 25 quickly as I can.

1 But Reverend Jackson talked about the 2 seriousness of what we're doing here today. And the tone that he expressed in his own presentation was 3 4 very serious. 5 I want to thank David Cobb and Cliff 6 Arnebeck, Bob Fitrakis for all that he has done, good friend Bill Moss for his leadership and so many others 7 8 who have stood up. 9 The one point that I would like to make, Madam Chair, and to everyone who is here, is the issue 10 11 of fear. Fear paralyzes us. And there are those who 12 would say, fold up the tent. It's over. Shut your 13 mouth and go away. There are those who would 14 intimidate you at this point into remaining quiet. 15 And so Reverend Jackson called the roll. He talked about Goodman and Chaney and Schwerner and 16 17 Viola Liuzzo. He talked about Evers and Fannie Lou 18 Hamer and Dr. Martin Luther King. But even before 19 them, 134 years ago -- and our members of Congress 20 know this well -- we had the same issues with Tunis 21 Campbell back in Georgia in 1870 and Hiram Revels and 22 Rainey and Ransier all of those who came into the 23 Congress during that Reconstruction period, that very 24 brief Reconstruction period that we had. 25 And the seriousness of what Reverend Jackson

1 was saying, I was looking at his face because we knew 2 them. He talked with them. He marched with them. He fought with them. And I thought about these Congress 3 4 members who served back in 1867, 1877, who were beaten 5 down. And if they knew that in the year 2004 we were 6 sitting here talking about the same issues that they were beaten down on, that they were denied the 7 8 opportunity to participate in the political process, 9 they would say, who would not stand up, particularly 10 those who were in a leadership position. 11 If you're in a leadership position, you have 12 the responsibility to stand up. So we appreciate the 13 citizens being here. But there's some leaders who are 14 probably waiting to exhale. There are some leaders 15 who are at this point saying, I wish you would leave town, pack up your bags and get out of here so I can 16 17 breathe again. And I simply want to say to the 18 leadership that dissent should be encouraged. 19 We live in a country where the majority 20 rules, but the minority certainly has rights. And we 21 have reason to question, particularly when you look at 22 the chairman of Diebold and his support of George

Bush, even before the election. The commitment that he gave that the president would win the election, yet he is the person who has placed these machines all

1 over our state.

2 When you look at Blackwell, Secretary Blackwell serving as the cochair -- these things have 3 4 already been stated. I don't want to spend a lot of 5 time on this, but they knew that we had registered 6 102,000 new voters in Franklin County. And so only logic would say, we need more machines, particularly 7 in the black community. 102,000 new registered voters 8 9 and the overwhelming majority in the African American community. A million new registered voters in the 10 11 state of Ohio, 800,000 in the African-American 12 community, and we're going to short change the people 13 on the process. 14 And so my message very simply is, we can't afford to be afraid. We have to look at the legacy. 15 We have to look at those on whose shoulders we stand 16 17 and we have a responsibility to do three things: We 18 need a recount, number one; we need real election 19 reform, number two; and we need greater courage on the 20 part of our leaders and the citizenry to demand 21 fairness and justice in the conduct of our elections. 22 Thank you. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Senator 23 24 Miller. 25 Congressman Nadler?

1 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you. 2 Did you have a question? Councilman Boyce. We've still got quite a few people on our 3 4 list and I know that Charles Traylor wants to come 5 forward next and I have, following him, is W.J. 6 Sheppard, and then I cannot -- it looks like Paula 7 Gregory and then Mark Dunbar, Robert Bucero and Robert 8 Bowen and then Ann Silverman. Okay. Councilman Boyce? 9 COUNCILMAN BOYCE: Mr. Traylor, I'll be brief 10 11 because I know there are still others who want to 12 provide some comment. 13 Enough has been said about the importance of 14 being here today and how much we appreciate, as 15 members of the Columbus City Council, those who took time out of their busy schedule to join us today and 16 17 talk about an issue that affects all of our lives. 18 And so to those that are here with us, Bob Fitrakis, 19 Bill Moss and his lovely wife, to Reverend Jesse 20 Jackson, to Congresswoman Waters and Congresswoman 21 Tubbs-Jones, Senator Miller and Joyce Beatty and all 22 of those, Congressman Nadler and Strickland and all of 23 those that have been here today, we really appreciate 24 you being here. 25 But I want to also just extend, as well as

Senator Miller stated, the importance of tomorrow, the
 importance of not so much what we do today and what we
 look at from the election of yesterday, but what we do
 from this point on.

5 When I think about the election, my 6 experience this past November, I recall being in my polling location and I, too, stood in line for four 7 hours, two outside and two inside, and in the rain. 8 9 But I recall being in line. And there was a senior citizen, African-American woman, and I'm not going to 10 11 guess her age, but she was an elderly woman from the 12 community who was in line to vote and was offered a 13 chair to sit down. And her comments were, I refuse to 14 sit down in that chair on behalf of the -- on behalf 15 of those who came before me, on behalf of those who fought before me and on behalf of those who died for 16 17 me. And so she refused to sit down in that chair at 18 the polling location that day. 19 But what was even more telling about the 20 significance and the importance of what was happening

21 is that chair sat there empty the rest of the day. No

22 one sat in the chair, and I believe that it was a

23 symbolic statement by all of those who were in line at 24 the Northeast Recreation Center that day.

25 And so when we talk about the importance and

1 the magnitude of what happens, think about not only 2 those who have come before us, but those who will follow behind us and the difference that we can make. 3 4 The last point that I want to make is on behalf of young African-Americans and the age category 5 6 of 18 to 35, which I qualify for both, keep up the fight. Because the difference that we can make 7 together as a community is a difference that makes a 8 9 difference for all of those who come behind us. And I'm speaking of my five-year-old son and speaking of 10 11 my two-year-old son, I'm speaking of all of their 12 friends, I'm speaking of the families in my community, 13 I'm speaking of the families in my city and in my 14 state. 15 We need and demand for everyone to stay with us on the fight. Make sure that we're represented in 16 17 the way that our votes will count, now and in the 18 future. And finally, I join Senator Miller and all of 19 20 those who have been here today in calling not only for 21 a full scale investigation of the voter process, but a 22 full scale reform of the election process. And 23 together as partners and as a community, we all can 24 make that difference. 25 Thanks for being here today and I appreciate

1 being allowed to be a part of the panel and listening 2 to the comments and testimony today. Thank you. 3 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Councilman 4 Boyce. 5 Charles Traylor. 6 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: 7 Congresswoman Waters is the last of my colleagues to have to leave, and I just a want to thank her for her 8 9 leadership and her service and thank her for coming 10 here. 11 MR. TRAYLOR: Thank you Congresswoman Waters 12 and Representative Tubbs-Jones and Mr. Nadler and 13 everyone. I just want to say on behalf of 1580 WVKO, 14 we broadcast this whole hearing live to our audience. 15 I want to say to Senator Miller and to other state legislators that in this whole fiasco, Ken 16 17 Blackwell has also done other wrongs to the citizens 18 of Ohio. He has taken up residence in a private 19 building, in the Borden building at 180 East Broad 20 Street. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's not there. MR. TRAYLOR: And he's holed up in that 22 building. And the people that own the Borden building 23 24 take the liberty of denying the public access to a 25 public official while the tax payers' dollars are
1 paying for a private citizen.

2 There's something wrong when an elected public official can hold up and hide from the public 3 4 building and then instruct the State Highway Patrol 5 and the Columbus Police Department to arrest anyone 6 that comes in that building that he doesn't want to 7 see. Dan Hamburg and his wife, Carry, were 8 9 arrested last Wednesday by the State Highway Patrol and Columbus Police because Ken Blackwell ordered them 10 11 to arrest anybody -- these people were sitting in the 12 coffee house right on the first floor. They were 13 arrested because there was there to see Kenneth 14 Blackwell. They weren't disturbing anyone. 15 But something needs to be changed in our constitution in Ohio that elected officials should not 16 be allowed to take up residence and actually hole up 17 18 and hide in private buildings when they're public 19 officials. COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Mr. Traylor 20 21 for the airing of this public meeting. 22 Next we're going to hear from W.J. Sheppard and then following Mr. Sheppard is -- I believe it's 23 24 Jill McCoughlin. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 25 MR. SHEPPARD: My comments will be very

1 brief. But first, Mr. Miller, you hit it right on the 2 head. 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Pull the 4 microphone back, please. MR. SHEPPARD: Mr. Miller, you hit it right 5 6 on the head. We are our prisoners. In the early 1900s, the men in Congress and them that you say, they 7 were in fear of power, so organized, so southern, so 8 9 in a line, so pervasive and so corrupt that when they speak in combination of that power, they were afraid 10 11 to speak above that voice. 12 And today, we are afraid of the same power 13 because our representatives on the CBC, a lot of our 14 members of it belong to the council in foreign 15 relations. Mr. Jackson, a member of the council on foreign relations. 16 17 So my question to our Congress people, when 18 you caucus and you have Lewis, you have Pain, you have 19 Wayngo, who are a member of the counsel for foreign 20 relations, have you asked them, and Mr. Jackson, also, 21 what impact did this vicious organization, this 22 vicious group, have on the outcome of the election 23 system? 24 But I'm afraid to -- I'm going to answer for 25 them, because we are still afraid of something. I'm

1 going to answer. What's the name of it? Did I commit 2 to this out here today? 3 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Well, on 4 behalf of my colleagues of this nation, earlier I was 5 Air America this morning and I was asked why is it or 6 does it appear that when it is time for issues like this it's the Congressional Black Caucus that stands 7 up, and my response is we were conscious of elections. 8 9 And that's why you see that. But we're joined by my colleagues across the 10 11 board who are concerned about the issue. And you have 12 to keep in mind, sometimes the fact that many times 13 we're standing up when the media is not paying 14 attention to us. And when you don't have a vehicle by 15 which you can be heard, it's also very difficult, sir, but count on us. We'll be there on behalf of all of 16 those who are disenfranchised, left out, and locked 17 18 out of the process, not only in the United States, but 19 across the world. 20 Thank you very much. 21 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you 22 Congresswoman Tubbs-Jones, Senator Miller. And then Jill, if you could go ahead to the 23 24 microphone. 25 SENATOR MILLER: Very quickly, Madam Chair, I

1 don't think you should have to speak on your own 2 behalf. 3 We do appreciate your outstanding leadership 4 over the years, even before you were a member of 5 Congress, when you were a prosecutor in the city of 6 Cleveland. You've always stood strong. 7 Congresswoman Waters we know well, the Congressman who was here from New York and then 8 9 Congressman Strickland, we appreciate the leadership that every one of you provide. And so I just needed 10 11 to say that. I don't want you to speak on your own 12 behalf. We know what a fighter you are. Thank you. 13 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Senator Miller. 14 15 And I echo those feelings. You know, it's only too easy to criticize, but these Congress persons 16 17 are here because they're trying to get to the bottom 18 of what went wrong in the 2004 general election. 19 They're trying to hear from people throughout this 20 state, throughout the country, reclamations as well as 21 problems, so that we can fix the system. So I thank 22 you for sharing those comments. 23 Ms. McCoughlin. 24 MS. MCCOUGHLIN: I'm Jill McCoughlin, Ph.D. 25 And I'm here today. I hope that I can represent all

the voters as a coplaintiff on the suit with Mr. Moss and his wife, and I feel very honored to be a part of this. And I'd just like to thank all the of the Congress people, senators, Mr. Fitrakis, all of you, the Reverend Jackson and Cliff Arnebeck, for allowing me to take part in this.

I was an election official in precinct 72F 7 during this last election, and that was a very heavily 8 9 Republican area that I was assigned to up on Route 23 between Worthington and Delaware. And my experience 10 11 was that we had a whole lot of people. We had lines, 12 too, of two-and-a-half to three hours long. But at 13 the end of the day when all of us went back to look at 14 the -- behind the machines to see how many votes had 15 been counted by each machine, our four machines registered -- the first one was 169 votes. The second 16 one was 167 votes. The third was one 169 votes and 17 18 the fourth one was 167 votes. 19 We made no attempt whatsoever to make sure 20 that all of those machines were used at the same 21 number of times. And when we looked at the tapes, we 22 saw that the percentage or proportion for George Bush 23 over John Kerry was almost exactly the same on each 24 one, as well. 25 I feel very confident that we had more than

1 672 voters that day. And although there are no paper 2 trails to figure out who voted for whom, I believe there is one way to figure out whether or not those 3 4 machines registered all of the votes, and that is to 5 count the signatures in the poll book to see if it 6 matches the number that was on the machines. And that's what I am calling for as far as these Diebold 7 8 machines are concerned is that during this recount 9 process, we count those signatures and make sure that they match the number on the machines. 10 11 So thank you all. I appreciate it very much. 12 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you very much. 13 The next witness is Paula Gregory. I believe 14 that's the name. Mark Dunbar. Mark Dunbar. 15 Robert Bucero. 16 17 MR. BUCERO: Thank you very much. My name is 18 Robert Bucero. I'm a national activist. I'm from 19 California, but I spent three months in Ohio during the campaign, including just after election day. 20 21 I have three points to make, but one main 22 point, which is that what you had on November 2nd and 23 what you have to actually look at is you had a fascist 24 coup. You had a cold coup by a bunch of fascists. 25 We've documented in this book many of you

1 have probably seen or have called the Children of 2 Satan. The Strausian roots of people like Paul Wolfowitz, Dick Cheney and the whole crew, these 3 4 people are serious. This was a fascist coup, okay. 5 Now, nobody said that and I wanted to bring that up. 6 As an added point on this, what the good --7 here's a joke: Do you know the difference between 8 Bush and God? God doesn't think he's Bush. 9 Bush has a God complex at this point, okay. This is why he's moving for the privatization of 10 11 Social Security right now: To steal trillions of 12 dollars. He's even pointed twice to the Chilean 13 model, the model implemented under General Pinochet 14 who I understand was arrested today in Chile, okay. 15 This is a pack of fascists. So we need to realize that, that the world 16 financial system is crashing. The dollar has 17 18 collapsed for ten weeks straight. These guys want to 19 lose Social Security. 20 So getting back to the point that's in 21 question of the constitution, the constitution, the 22 general welfare of principle of the constitution, on 23 January 6th, the Congress should not certify the 24 election. 25 Thank you.

1 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you, Mr. Bucero. 2 And I want to thank Congressman Nadler for being here today, as well. He is getting ready to 3 4 leave. But thank you very much for coming to 5 Columbus, Ohio and thank you for sharing your time and 6 energies on this issue amid our Ohio delegation and 7 those from Congress and local and state government. 8 I have Robert Bowen, Ann Silverman and Nancy 9 Fuller. MR. BOWEN: Thank you very much for the 10 11 opportunity to address you. 12 My name is Robert Bowen. I am the regional 13 coordinator for the Linden LaRouche Political Action 14 Committee. One of the things which Mr. Bucero 15 mentioned was the Social Security rip off that the Bush administration is planning on the model of 16 17 Pinochet Social Security plans in Chile. That may 18 appear to be somewhat of an obscure topic for these 19 hearings, unless you consider the fact that 20 Mr. Blackwell is also one of the national 21 spokespersons for the organization Social Security 22 choice that is promoting that Social Security rip off 23 plan. 24 I would submit that there are two issues that 25 the panel that convened here today and the members of

1 the audience should take, three, really. One would be 2 a full investigation and actually a political mobilization to stop the Social Security rip off plan 3 4 of the Bush administration. Number two, any act of 5 voters suppression, especially acts of voter 6 suppression carried out by elected officials, public officials, party officials or others with 7 8 responsibility to protect the electoral process are 9 criminal violations of the Voting Rights Act, and regardless of the impact on the outcome of the 10 11 election, they should be prosecuted to the full extent 12 of the law. 13 And finally, on January 6th when the Congress 14 convenes in joint session to receive the votes of the 15 electoral college, this time around -- and this is where the members of the audience come in -- we must 16 17 ensure that there is at least one senator who will 18 join Congressional challenge of the electoral college 19 vote. 20 In 2000, there were repeated challenges to 21 the electoral college vote raised in the U.S. 22 Congress, and not one senator stood up to back them 23 up, and that included every Democratic senator. 24 This time around, there are a few senators I 25 think who could be challenged who have a personal

1 stake in the outcome, including both Senators Kerry 2 and Senator Edwards. Senator Edwards, even though he'll be leaving his seat January 20th, will be 3 4 participating in that joint meeting on January 6th. 5 I call on everyone to join us in efforts to 6 ensure this time, whatever the outcome of the recount efforts in the state of Ohio, whatever the outcome of 7 the legal investigations, that a political 8 9 mobilization be carried out to ensure that that challenge is made and this election not accepted by 10 11 the U.S. Congress. 12 Thank you. 13 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you very much, 14 Mr. Bowen. Ann Silverman. Ann Silverman. 15 Following Ms. Silverman is Nancy Fuller. The 16 17 final speaker, Greg Donaldson. 18 MS. SILVERMAN: Hello. I'm Ann Silverman. 19 Thank you very much for having these hearings and 20 having them here in Columbus. 21 I'm here as a parent of two first-time voters. And I'll try and make this really brief 22 because I am speaking actually on my daughter's behalf 23 24 and she just had her wisdom teeth out. 25 She registered and got her voting card back

from the Board of Election with a misspelling on her
first name and middle initial. She called the board
and they told her that she could fill out a change of
address at the precinct when she voted.

5 She took her registration card to the 6 precinct early in the morning and she was not on the 7 voter rolls. She had kicked up a huge fuss, but in 8 the end was forced to vote provisionally.

9 This morning I went to the Board of Election to find out what the dispensation of her provisional 10 11 ballot was. And when I called, they said they didn't 12 have a record of her having voted at all, but that she 13 would check on it. So she did find an envelope which 14 at the top has written in it, change of name only, but 15 she said that her vote was thrown out because the envelope wasn't signed. So she made me a Xeroxed copy 16 17 of that vote. 18 And I took it and showed it to my daughter

19 and I said, is this what you filled out? She said, 20 yes, they made me fill out two of them, one for a 21 change of name and one which had her ballot in it. 22 I went back to the Board of Election. I 23 explained this. She looked through the envelopes 24 again, couldn't find the second one. And I don't know 25 whether we need to really have the voter registration

1 books open and accessible throughout this recount, but 2 as a first-time voter out to do the right thing and she says she signed the one that had her ballot in it, 3 4 is there any way to kind of check for these 5 irregularities. 6 Anyway, thank you very much. And the other thing that I actually just had 7 a question about was the timing of this particular 8 meeting, why we're doing this here, now, when the 9 10 electors are voting over there. 11 Anyway, thank you. 12 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you. Next we're 13 going to hear from Nancy Fuller, final speaker, Greg 14 Donaldson. MS. FULLER: I'm Nancy Fuller. I'm a 15 graduate student at Ohio State University. And I 16 17 wanted to thank a couple people -- I don't know their 18 names -- who helped me get up to the podium. 19 I'm speaking for precinct 18A and 18C in the 20 north end and for the black brothers and sisters. I 21 do want to say that this election affects working-class whites, blacks, blacks, whites. It 22 really is a rainbow coalition effort. It truly is so 23 24 important. 25 The north end polling site where I was has

1 mainly working class whites, I would say. And a 2 couple things I want to note for this to put on the 3 record was, we worked from -- the polls opened at 4 6:00. We started -- we get there -- as a polling 5 official, I was there at 6:00 a.m. We opened the 6 polls at 6:30. We're supposed to close at 7:30. We 7 worked until midnight.

Why? Because under the primaries, there 8 9 were -- we had four machines. When it came time for November 2nd, we had three. Not good, right? Not 10 11 good. And the average wait time for -- at 6:00 p.m., 12 because I had time to get out and just talk to people 13 because the line was very long and I was talking to 14 people, we were getting them chairs, we were getting 15 them water. The average wait time was four hours. Now, you tell me, you know, I'm sure that 16 17 many people, some people, we can't say how many, but 18 I'm sure that that wait time was punishing, and then 19 people walked away. 20 So those are irregularities that really need 21 to be addressed. And I particularly want to 22 compliment Ann Silverman, and Reverend Jackson, for 23 being here. But this mother was concerned about 24 whether her daughter's vote was cast correctly, and I 25 think that's very good of her to figure out. Hey,

1 what went wrong here? Well, plenty went wrong. 2 So thank you for this opportunity. 3 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you very much. 4 Is Mr. Donaldson here? Mr. Donaldson. 5 He is not here. Are there any closing 6 remarks from Congresswoman Stephanie Tubbs-Jones? 7 U.S. REPRESENTATIVE TUBBS-JONES: Just thank you very much Councilwoman Charleta Tavares and 8 9 Councilman Boyce for giving us a location to schedule this event. I want to thank you and I wish everyone a 10 11 happy holiday. 12 COUNCILWOMAN TAVARES: Thank you very much. 13 Councilman Boyce, any closing remarks. 14 Senator Miller? 15 I just want to thank our Congress members for having a field hearing here in Columbus, Ohio, to hear 16 17 from the people, to hear from the activists, the 18 lawyers, and those who want to ensure, as I hope we 19 all do, that we have a fair, free, accurate and 20 consistent process for electing those who are to 21 govern us. That's what this is all about, and I'm 22 sure that they're going to take all the testimony and 23 develop recommendations and reforms in our election 24 process so that it is not vulcanized, that it is, in 25 fact, a federal system with federal standards.

Thank you, and we will now conclude. - - -Thereupon, at 2:24 p.m. on Monday, December 13, 2004, the hearing was concluded. - - -

1 CERTIFICATE STATE OF OHIO 2 : SS: 3 COUNTY OF LICKING : 4 I, Breanna Schwart, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of 5 6 Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby 7 certify that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of the proceedings in this matter 8 9 as reported by me in stenotype and transcribed from my 10 stenographic notes to the best of my ability; that I 11 am in no way related to or employed by any attorney or 12 party hereto or financially interested in the action, 13 and I am not, nor is the court reporting firm with 14 which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in 15 Civil Rule 28(D). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 16 hand and affixed my seal of office at Pataskala, Ohio, 17 18 on this 29th day of December, 2003. 19 BREANNA SCHWART, RPR 20 NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF OHIO 21 My Commission Expires: December 27, 2006. 22 23 24 25